

REFERENCES

PREAMBLE

1. Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines, Institute of Medicine (U.S.). *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. National Academies Press; 2011.

2. Committee on Standards for Systematic Reviews of Comparative Effectiveness Research, Institute of Medicine (U.S.). *Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews*. National Academies Press; 2011.

3. Anderson JL, Heidenreich PA, Barnett PG, et al. ACC/AHA statement on cost/value methodology in clinical practice guidelines and performance measures: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures and Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:2304–2322.

4. ACCF/AHA/Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Methodology Manual and Policies From the ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines*. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association. 2010. Accessed April 12, 2021. Available at: <https://www.acc.org/Guidelines/About-Guidelines-and-Clinical-Documents/Methodology> and https://professional.heart.org/-/media/phd-files/guidelines-and-statements/methodology_manual_and_policies_ucm_319826.pdf

5. Halperin JL, Levine GN, Al-Khatib SM, et al. Further evolution of the ACC/AHA clinical practice guideline recommendation classification system: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:1572–1574.

6. Arnett DK, Goodman RA, Halperin JL, et al. AHA/ACC/HHS strategies to enhance application of clinical practice guidelines in patients with cardiovascular disease and comorbid conditions: from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:1851–1856.

7. Levine GN, O'Gara PT, Beckman JA, et al. Recent innovations, modifications, and evolution of ACC/AHA Clinical Practice Guidelines: an update for our constituencies: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:1990–1998.

1.4. Scope of the Guideline

1. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e123–e210.

2. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e44–e122.

3. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2015 ACC/AHA/SCAI focused update on primary

percutaneous coronary intervention for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention and the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:1235–1250.

4. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:e44–e164.

5. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:e78–e140.

6. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:e139–e228.

7. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:e91–e220.

8. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77:e25–e197.

9. Arnett DK, Blumenthal R, Albert M, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:e177–e232.

10. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC

guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:1082–1115.

11. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APHA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:e285–e350.

12. Stout KK, Daniels CJ, Aboulhosn JA, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC Guideline for the management of adults with congenital heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:e81–e192.

13. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:e1–e76.

14. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:104–132.

15. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:380–406.

16. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:e147–e239.

17. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA fupdate of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:776–803.

18. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APHA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on

Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;71:e127–e248.

19. Barua RS, Rigotti NA, Benowitz NL, et al. 2018 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on tobacco cessation treatment: a report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:3332–3365.

20. Clinical Practice Guideline Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence 2008 Update Panel, Liaisons, and Staff. A clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. A U.S. Public Health Service report. *Am J Prev Med*. 2008;35:158–176.

21. Lazar HL, Salm TV, Engelman R, et al. Prevention and management of sternal wound infections. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2016;152:962–972.

22. Thomas RJ, Balady G, Banka G, et al. 2018 ACC/AHA clinical performance and quality measures for cardiac rehabilitation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;71:1814–1837.

23. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;137:e523–e557.

24. van Diepen S, Katz JN, Albert NM, et al. Contemporary management of cardiogenic shock: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;136:e232–e268.

25. Kulik A, Ruel M, Jneid H, et al. Secondary prevention after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:927–964.

26. American Diabetes Association. 8. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: standards of medical care in diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41:S73–S85.

1.5. Class of Recommendation and Level of Evidence

1. ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Methodology Manual and Policies From the ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association. 2010. Accessed April 12, 2021. Available at: <https://www.acc.org/Guidelines/About-Guidelines-and-Clinical-Documents/Methodology> and https://professional.heart.org/-/media/phd-files/guidelines-and-statements/methodology_manual_and_policies_ucm_319826.pdf

2.1. Improving Equity of Care in Revascularization

1. O'Donoghue M, Boden WE, Braunwald E, et al. Early invasive vs conservative treatment strategies in women and men with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2008;300:71–80.

2. Lee LC, Poh KK, Tang TPL, et al. The impact of gender on the outcomes of invasive versus conservative management of patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Ann Acad Med Singap*. 2010;39:168–172.

3. Tamis-Holland JE, Palazzo A, Stebbins AL, et al. Benefits of direct angioplasty for women and men with acute myocardial infarction: results of the Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Arteries in Acute Coronary Syndromes Angioplasty (GUSTO II-B) Angioplasty Substudy. *Am Heart J*. 2004;147:133–139.

4. Heer T, Hochadel M, Schmidt K, et al. Sex differences in percutaneous coronary intervention—insights from the coronary angiography and PCI registry of the German Society of Cardiology. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6:e004972.

5. Tamis-Holland JE, Lu J, Korytkowski M, et al. Sex differences in presentation and outcome among patients with type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease treated with contemporary medical therapy with or without prompt revascularization: a report from the BARI 2D Trial (Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:1767–1776.

6. Davis KB, Chaitman B, Ryan T, et al. Comparison of 15-year survival for men and women after initial medical or surgical treatment for coronary artery disease: a CASS registry study. *Coronary Artery Surgery Study*. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1995;25:1000–1009.

7. Gudnadottir GS, Andersen K, Thrainsdottir IS, et al. Gender differences in coronary angiography, subsequent interventions, and outcomes among patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Am Heart J*. 2017;191:65–74.

8. Golomb M, Redfors B, Crowley A, et al. Prognostic impact of race in patients undergoing PCI: analysis from 10 randomized coronary stent trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020;13:1586–1595.

9. Palmeri ST, Lowe AM, Sleeper LA, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in the treatment and outcome of cardiogenic shock following acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2005;96:1042–1049.

10. Sabatine MS, Blake GJ, Drazner MH, et al. Influence of race on death and ischemic complications in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes despite modern, protocol-guided treatment. *Circulation*. 2005;111:1217–1224.

11. Cantor JC, DeLia D, Tiedemann A, et al. Reducing racial disparities in coronary angiography. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2009;28:1521–1531.

12. Miller CD, Stopyra JP, Mahler SA, et al. ACES (Accelerated Chest Pain Evaluation With Stress Imaging) protocols eliminate testing disparities in patients with chest pain. *Crit Pathw Cardiol*. 2019;18:5–9.

13. Rashid M, Fischman DL, Martinez SC, et al. Temporal trends and predictors of time to coronary angiography following non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome in the USA. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2019;30:159–170.

14. Zhao M, Woodward M, Vaartjes I, et al. Sex differences in cardiovascular medication prescription in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020;9:e014742.

15. Arora S, Stouffer GA, Kucharska-Newton A, et al. Fifteen-year trends in management and outcomes of non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction among black and white patients: the ARIC community surveillance study, 2000–2014. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2018;7:e010203.

16. Havranek EP, Mujahid MS, Barr DA, et al. Social determinants of risk and outcomes for cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;132:873–898.

17. Walli-Attaei M, Joseph P, Rosengren A, et al. Variations between women and men in risk factors, treatments, cardiovascular disease incidence, and death in 27 high-income, middle-income, and low-

income countries (PURE): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;396:97–109.

18. Backholer K, Peters SAE, Bots SH, et al. Sex differences in the relationship between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2017;71:550–557.

19. Malambo P, Kengne AP, De Villiers A, et al. Built environment, selected risk factors and major cardiovascular disease outcomes: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0166846.

20. Carnethon MR, Pu J, Howard G, et al. Cardiovascular health in African Americans: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;136:e393–e423.

21. Volgman AS, Palaniappan LS, Aggarwal NT, et al. Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in South Asians in the United States: epidemiology, risk factors, and treatments: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;138:e1–e34.

22. Rodriguez CJ, Allison M, Daviglius ML, et al. Status of cardiovascular disease and stroke in Hispanics/Latinos in the United States: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;130:593–625.

23. Beohar N, Davidson CJ, Massaro EM, et al. The impact of race/ethnicity on baseline characteristics and the burden of coronary atherosclerosis in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes trial. *Am Heart J*. 2011;161:755–763.

24. Mehran R, Chandrasekhar J, Davis S, et al. Impact of race and ethnicity on the clinical and angiographic characteristics, social determinants of health, and 1-year outcomes after everolimus-eluting coronary stent procedures in women. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12:e006918.

25. Feinstein M, Ning H, Kang J, et al. Racial differences in risks for first cardiovascular events and non-cardiovascular death: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study, the Cardiovascular Health Study, and the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2012;126:50–59.

26. Pursnani S, Merchant M. South Asian ethnicity as a risk factor for coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2020;315:126–130.

27. Sonel AF, Good CB, Mulgund J, et al. Racial variations in treatment and outcomes of black and white patients with high-risk non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: insights from CRUSADE (Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes With Early Implementation of the ACC/AHA Guidelines?). *Circulation*. 2005;111:1225–1232.

28. Pearte CA, Myerson M, Coresh J, et al. Variation and temporal trends in the use of diagnostic testing during hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction by age, gender, race, and geography (the Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities Study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;101:1219–1225.

29. Freund KM, Jacobs AK, Pechacek JA, et al. Disparities by race, ethnicity, and sex in treating acute coronary syndromes. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2012;21:126–132.

30. Vaccarino V, Rathore SS, Wenger NK, et al. Sex and racial differences in the management of acute myocardial infarction, 1994 through 2002. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:671–682.

31. Cenko E, Yoon J, Kedev S, et al. Sex differences in outcomes after STEMI: effect modification by treatment strategy and age. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2018;178:632-639.
 32. Blomkalns AL, Chen AY, Hochman JS, et al. Gender disparities in the diagnosis and treatment of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: large-scale observations from the CRUSADE (Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes With Early Implementation of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines) National Quality Improvement Initiative. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;45:832-837.
 33. Asleh R, Manemann SM, Weston SA, et al. Sex differences in outcomes after myocardial infarction in the community. *Am J Med.* 2021;134:114-121.
 34. Iantorno M, Rogers T, Torguson R, et al. Racial disparities in clinical characteristics and outcomes of women undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2019;20:1039-1042.
 35. Hrvanek M, Whittle J, Kelley ME, et al. Symptom expression in coronary heart disease and revascularization recommendations for black and white patients. *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97:1701-1708.
 36. Mirvis DM, Graney MJ. Impact of race and age on the effects of regionalization of cardiac procedures in the Department of Veterans Affairs health care system. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:982-987.
 37. Vaccarino V, Parsons L, Every NR, et al. Sex-based differences in early mortality after myocardial infarction. National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 2 Participants. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:217-225.
 38. Shaw LJ, Shaw RE, Merz CNB, et al. Impact of ethnicity and gender differences on angiographic coronary artery disease prevalence and in-hospital mortality in the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *Circulation.* 2008;117:1787-1801.
 39. Thomas KL, Honeycutt E, Shaw LK, et al. Racial differences in long-term survival among patients with coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J.* 2010;160:744-751.
 40. Lichtman JH, Wang Y, Jones SB, et al. Age and sex differences in in-hospital complication rates and mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention procedures: evidence from the NCDR. *Am Heart J.* 2014;167:376-383.
 41. Mehta JL, Bursac Z, Mehta P, et al. Racial disparities in prescriptions for cardioprotective drugs and cardiac outcomes in Veterans Affairs Hospitals. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;105:1019-1023.
 42. Gregory PC, LaVeist TA, Simpson C. Racial disparities in access to cardiac rehabilitation. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2006;85:705-710.
 43. Lutfiyya MN, Lipsky MS, Bales RW, et al. Disparities in knowledge of heart attack and stroke symptoms among adult men: an analysis of behavioral risk factor surveillance survey data. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2008;100:1116-1124.
 44. Pamboukian SV, Funkhouser E, Child IG, et al. Disparities by insurance status in quality of care for elderly patients with unstable angina. *Ethn Dis.* 2006;16:799-807.
 45. Trivedi AN, Sequist TD, Ayanian JZ. Impact of hospital volume on racial disparities in cardiovascular procedure mortality. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;47:417-424.
 46. Nallamothu BK, Lu X, Vaughan-Sarrazin MS, et al. Coronary revascularization at specialty cardiac hospitals and peer general hospitals in Black Medicare beneficiaries. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2008;1:116-122.
 47. Kim DH, Daskalakis C, Lee AN, et al. Racial disparity in the relationship between hospital volume and mortality among patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Surg.* 2008;248:886-892.
 48. Li S, Chen A, Mead K. Racial disparities in the use of cardiac revascularization: does local hospital capacity matter? *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e69855.
 49. Cram P, Bayman L, Popescu I, et al. Racial disparities in revascularization rates among patients with similar insurance coverage. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2009;101:1132-1139.
 50. Mahajan AM, Claessen BE, Chandrasekhar J, et al. Outcomes by gender and ethnicity after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2019;123:1941-1948.
 51. Schulman KA, Bertin JA, Harless W, et al. The effect of race and sex on physicians' recommendations for cardiac catheterization. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340:618-626.
 52. Sullivan LT 2nd, Mulder H, Chiswell K, et al. Racial differences in long-term outcomes among black and white patients with drug-eluting stents. *Am Heart J.* 2019;214:46-53.
 53. Gaglia MA Jr, Steinberg DH, Pinto Slottow TL, et al. Racial disparities in outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;103:653-658.
 54. Chen MS, Bhatt DL, Chew DP, et al. Outcomes in African Americans and Whites after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Med.* 2005;118:1019-1025.
 55. Kaul U, Patel TM, Zambahari R, et al. Evaluation of the XIENCE V everolimus eluting coronary stent system in the Asian population of the SPIRIT V single arm study. 2-year clinical follow-up data. *Indian Heart J.* 2011;63:402-408.
 56. Krishnamurthy A, Keeble C, Burton-Wood N, et al. Clinical outcomes following primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction according to sex and race. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2019;8:264-272.
 57. Rubini Gimenez M, Zeymer U, Desch S, et al. Sex-Specific management in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock: a substudy of the CULPRIT-SHOCK trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2020;13:e008537.
- ## 2.2. Shared Decision-Making and Informed Consent
1. Lamore K, Montalescot L, Untas A. Treatment decision-making in chronic diseases: what are the family members' roles, needs and attitudes? A systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2017;100:2172-2181.
 2. Stacey D, Bennett CL, Barry MJ, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;10:CD001431.
 3. Lin GA, Fagerlin A. Shared decision making: state of the science. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2014;7:328-334.
 4. Ting HH, Brito JP, Montori VM. Shared decision making: science and action. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2014;7:323-327.
 5. Hughes TM, Merath K, Chen Q, et al. Association of shared decision-making on patient-reported health outcomes and healthcare utilization. *Am J Surg.* 2018;216:7-12.
 6. Chewning B, Bylund CL, Shah B, et al. Patient preferences for shared decisions: a systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2012;86:9-18.
 7. Magnani JW, Mujahid MS, Aronow HD, et al. Health literacy and cardiovascular disease: fundamental relevance to primary and secondary prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;138:e48-e74.
 8. Neumann F-J, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:87-165.
 9. Schultz WM, Kelli HM, Lisko JC, et al. Socioeconomic status and cardiovascular outcomes: challenges and interventions. *Circulation.* 2018;137:2166-2178.
 10. Martínez-García M, Salinas-Ortega M, Estrada-Arriaga I, et al. A systematic approach to analyze the social determinants of cardiovascular disease. *PLoS One.* 2018;13:e0190960.
 11. Mosquera PA, San Sebastian M, Waenerlund A-K, et al. Income-related inequalities in cardiovascular disease from mid-life to old age in a northern Swedish cohort: a decomposition analysis. *Soc Sci Med.* 2016;149:135-144.
 12. Khaing W, Vallabhakara SA, Attia J, et al. Effects of education and income on cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2017;24:1032-1042.
 13. Baggett TP, Liao SS, Hwang SW. Cardiovascular disease and homelessness. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:2585-2597.
 14. Stacey D, Légaré F, Lewis K, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;4:CD001431.
 15. Perez Jolles M, Richmond J, Thomas KC. Minority patient preferences, barriers, and facilitators for shared decision-making with health care providers in the USA: a systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2019;102:1251-1262.
 16. Provance JB, Spertus JA, Decker C, et al. Assessing patient preferences for shared decision-making in peripheral artery disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2019;12:e005730.
 17. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:e177-e232.
 18. Havranek EP, Mujahid MS, Barr DA, et al. Social determinants of risk and outcomes for cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2015;132:873-898.
 19. Elwyn G, Frosch DL, Kobrin S. Implementing shared decision-making: consider all the consequences. *Implement Sci.* 2016;11:114.
 20. Milky G, Thomas J 3rd. Shared decision making, satisfaction with care and medication adherence among patients with diabetes. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2020;103:661-669.
 21. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the

diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:e44–e164.

22. Whitney SN, McGuire AL, McCullough LB. A typology of shared decision making, informed consent, and simple consent. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140:54–59.

23. Smith SC Jr, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACC secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:2432–2446.

24. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e44–e122.

25. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e123–e210.

26. Kipp R, Lehman J, Israel J, et al. Patient preferences for coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous intervention in multivessel coronary artery disease. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;82:212–218.

27. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. Patient Decision Aids: Implementation Toolkit. 2014. Accessed June 25, 2021. Available at: <https://decisionaid.ohri.ca/implement.html>

28. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The SHARE Approach—Essential Steps of Shared Decision-making: Quick Reference Guide. 2020. Accessed June 25, 2021. Available at: <https://www.ahrq.gov/health-literacy/professional-training/shared-decision/tools/resource-1.html>

3.1. The Heart Team

1. Bonzel T, Schächinger V, Dörge H. Description of a Heart Team approach to coronary revascularization and its beneficial long-term effect on clinical events after PCI. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2016;105:388–400.

2. Chu D, Anastacio MM, Mulukutla SR, et al. Safety and efficacy of implementing a multidisciplinary heart team approach for revascularization in patients with complex coronary artery disease: an observational cohort pilot study. *JAMA Surg*. 2014;149:1109–1112.

3. Leonardi S, Marino M, Crimi G, et al. Appropriateness of percutaneous coronary interventions in patients with ischaemic HEart disease in Italy: the APACHE pilot study. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e016909.

4. Pavlidis AN, Perera D, Karamasis GV, et al. Implementation and consistency of Heart Team decision-

making in complex coronary revascularisation. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016;206:37–41.

5. Sanchez CE, Dota A, Badhwar V, et al. Revascularization heart team recommendations as an adjunct to appropriate use criteria for coronary revascularization in patients with complex coronary artery disease. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;88:e103–e112.

6. Yamasaki M, Abe K, Horikoshi R, et al. Enhanced outcomes for coronary artery disease obtained by a multidisciplinary heart team approach. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019;67:841–848.

7. Patterson T, McConkey HZR, Ahmed-Jushuf F, et al. Long-term outcomes following heart team revascularization recommendations in complex coronary artery disease. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2019;8:e011279.

8. Mohr FW, Morice M-C, Kappetein AP, et al. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with three-vessel disease and left main coronary disease: 5-year follow-up of the randomised, clinical SYNTAX trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381:629–638.

9. Ram E, Goldenberg I, Kassif Y, et al. Comparison of patients with multivessel disease treated at centers with and without on-site cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018;155:865–873.e3.

10. King SB 3rd, Barnhart HX, Kosinski AS, et al. Angioplasty or surgery for multivessel coronary artery disease: comparison of eligible registry and randomized patients in the EAST trial and influence of treatment selection on outcomes. Emory Angioplasty versus Surgery Trial Investigators. *Am J Cardiol*. 1997;79:1453–1459.

11. Feit F, Brooks MM, Sopko G, et al. Long-term clinical outcome in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation Registry: comparison with the randomized trial. BARI Investigators. *Circulation*. 2000;101:2795–2802.

3.2. Predicting Patient Risk of Death With CABG

1. Osnabrugge RL, Speir AM, Head SJ, et al. Performance of EuroSCORE II in a large US database: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2014;46:400–408.

2. Ad N, Holmes SD, Patel J, et al. Comparison of EuroSCORE II, Original EuroSCORE, and The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Risk Score in Cardiac Surgery Patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;102:573–579.

3. O'Brien SM, Feng L, He X, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2018 adult cardiac surgery risk models: part 2—statistical methods and results. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2018;105:1419–1428.

4. Shahian DM, Jacobs JP, Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2018 adult cardiac surgery risk models: part 1—background, design considerations, and model development. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2018;105:1411–1418.

5. Nashef SA, Roques F, Sharples LD, et al. EuroSCORE II. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2012;41:734–744. discussion 44–5.

6. Thielmann M, Mehmet A, Neuhäuser M, et al. Risk prediction and outcomes in patients with liver cirrhosis undergoing open-heart surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2010;38:592–599.

7. Modi A, Vohra HA, Barlow CW. Do patients with liver cirrhosis undergoing cardiac surgery have acceptable outcomes? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2010;11:630–634.

8. Reichart D, Rosato S, Nammias W, et al. Clinical frailty scale and outcome after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2018;54:1102–1109.

9. Sündermann S, Dademasch A, Rastan A, et al. One-year follow-up of patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery assessed with the Comprehensive Assessment of Frailty test and its simplified form. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2011;13:119–123; discussion 23.

10. Sündermann SH, Dademasch A, Seifert B, et al. Frailty is a predictor of short- and mid-term mortality after elective cardiac surgery independently of age. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2014;18:580–585.

11. Afilalo J, Eisenberg MJ, Morin JF, et al. Gait speed as an incremental predictor of mortality and major morbidity in elderly patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:1668–1676.

12. Afilalo J, Mottillo S, Eisenberg MJ, et al. Addition of frailty and disability to cardiac surgery risk scores identifies elderly patients at high risk of mortality or major morbidity. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2012;5:222–228.

13. Lytwyn J, Stammers AN, Kehler DS, et al. The impact of frailty on functional survival in patients 1 year after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2017;154:1990–1999.

14. Sepehri A, Beggs T, Hassan A, et al. The impact of frailty on outcomes after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2014;148:3110–3117.

15. Ringaitienė D, Gineitytė D, Vicka V, et al. Impact of malnutrition on postoperative delirium development after on pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2015;10:74.

16. Lomivorotov VV, Efremov SM, Boboshko VA, et al. Prognostic value of nutritional screening tools for patients scheduled for cardiac surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2013;16:612–618.

17. Bayir H, Yildiz I. Malnutrition and adverse effects in cardiac surgery. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2015;63:349–350.

4.1. Angiography to Define Anatomy and Assess Lesion Severity

1. Adjedj J, Xaplanteris P, Toth G, et al. Visual and quantitative assessment of coronary stenoses at angiography versus fractional flow reserve: the impact of risk factors. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:e006243.

2. Beauman GJ, Vogel RA. Accuracy of individual and panel visual interpretations of coronary arteriograms: implications for clinical decisions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1990;16:108–113.

3. Fleming RM, Kirkeeide RL, Smalling RW, et al. Patterns in visual interpretation of coronary arteriograms as detected by quantitative coronary arteriography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1991;18:945–951.

4. Goldberg RK, Kleiman NS, Minor ST, et al. Comparison of quantitative coronary angiography to visual estimates of lesion severity pre and post PTCA. *Am Heart J*. 1990;119:178–184.

5. Nallamothu BK, Spertus JA, Lansky AJ, et al. Comparison of clinical interpretation with visual assessment and quantitative coronary angiography in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in contemporary practice: the Assessing Angiography (A2) project. *Circulation*. 2013;127:1793–1800.

4.2. Defining Coronary Artery Lesion Complexity: Calculation of the SYNTAX (Synergy Between PCI With TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery) Score

1. Sianos G, Morel M-A, Kappetein AP, et al. The SYNTAX Score: an angiographic tool grading the complexity of coronary artery disease. *Euro-Intervention*. 2005;1:219–227.
2. Garg S, Serruys PW, Silber S, et al. The prognostic utility of the SYNTAX score on 1-year outcomes after revascularization with zotarolimus- and everolimus-eluting stents: a substudy of the RESOLUTE All Comers Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:432–441.
3. Wykrzykowska JJ, Garg S, Girasis C, et al. Value of the SYNTAX score for risk assessment in the all-comers population of the randomized multicenter LEADERS (Limus Eluted from A Durable versus ERodable Stent coating) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:272–277.
4. Cavalcante R, Sotomi Y, Mancone M, et al. Impact of the SYNTAX scores I and II in patients with diabetes and multivessel coronary disease: a pooled analysis of patient level data from the SYNTAX, PRECOMBAT, and BEST trials. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1969–1977.
5. Farooq V, van Klaveren D, Steyerberg EW, et al. Anatomical and clinical characteristics to guide decision making between coronary artery bypass surgery and percutaneous coronary intervention for individual patients: development and validation of SYNTAX score II. *Lancet*. 2013;381:639–650.
6. Takahashi K, Serruys PW, Fuster V, et al. Redevelopment and validation of the SYNTAX score II to individualise decision making between percutaneous and surgical revascularisation in patients with complex coronary artery disease: secondary analysis of the multicentre randomised controlled SYNTAXES trial with external cohort validation. *Lancet*. 2020;396:1399–1412.
7. Généreux P, Palmerini T, Caixeta A, et al. SYNTAX score reproducibility and variability between interventional cardiologists, core laboratory technicians, and quantitative coronary measurements. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:553–561.
8. Zhang Y-J, Iqbal J, Campos CM, et al. Prognostic value of site SYNTAX score and rationale for combining anatomic and clinical factors in decision making: insights from the SYNTAX trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:423–432.

4.3. Use of Coronary Physiology to Guide Revascularization With PCI

1. Tonino PAL, De Bruyne B, Pijls NHJ, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:213–224.
2. De Bruyne B, Pijls NHJ, Kalesan B, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI versus medical therapy in stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:991–1001.
3. De Bruyne B, Fearon WF, Pijls NHJ, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI for stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:1208–1217.
4. Davies JE, Sen S, Dehbi H-M, et al. Use of the instantaneous wave-free ratio or fractional flow reserve in PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:1824–1834.

5. Xaplanteris P, Fournier S, Pijls NHJ, et al. Five-year outcomes with PCI guided by fractional flow reserve. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379:250–259.
6. Götzberg M, Christiansen EH, Gudmundsdottir IJ, et al. Instantaneous wave-free ratio versus fractional flow reserve to guide PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:1813–1823.
7. Zimmermann FM, Ferrara A, Johnson NP, et al. Deferral vs. performance of percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally non-significant coronary stenosis: 15-year follow-up of the DEFER trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:3182–3188.
8. Pijls NHJ, van Schaardenburgh P, Manoharan G, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally non-significant stenosis: 5-year follow-up of the DEFER study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:2105–2111.
9. Pijls NHJ, Fearon WF, Tonino PAL, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease: 2-year follow-up of the FAME (Fractional Flow Reserve Versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:177–184.
10. Escaned J, Ryan N, Mejía-Rentería H, et al. Safety of the deferral of coronary revascularization on the basis of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve measurements in stable coronary artery disease and acute coronary syndromes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:1437–1449.
11. Bruno F, D'Ascenzo F, Marengo G, et al. Fractional flow reserve guided versus angiographic guided surgical revascularization: a meta-analysis. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020;98:e18–e23.
12. Timbadia D, Ler A, Sazzad F, et al. FFR-guided versus coronary angiogram-guided CABG: a review and meta-analysis of prospective randomized controlled trials. *J Card Surg*. 2020;35:2785–2793.
13. Thuesen AL, Riber LP, Veien KT, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiographically-guided coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:2732–2743.
14. Toth GG, De Bruyne B, Kala P, et al. Graft patency after FFR-guided versus angiography-guided coronary artery bypass grafting: the GRAFFITI trial. *Euro-Intervention*. 2019;15:e999–e1005.

4.4. Intravascular Ultrasound to Assess Lesion Severity

1. de la Torre Hernandez JM, Hernandez Hernandez F, Alfonso F, et al. Prospective application of pre-defined intravascular ultrasound criteria for assessment of intermediate left main coronary artery lesions results from the multicenter LITRO study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:351–358.
2. Fassa AA, Wagatsuma K, Higano ST, et al. Intravascular ultrasound-guided treatment for angiographically indeterminate left main coronary artery disease: a long-term follow-up study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;45:204–211.
3. Park SJ, Ahn JM, Kang SJ, et al. Intravascular ultrasound-derived minimal lumen area criteria for functionally significant left main coronary artery stenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014;7:868–874.
4. Jasti V, Ivan E, Yalamanchili V, et al. Correlations between fractional flow reserve and intravascular

ultrasound in patients with an ambiguous left main coronary artery stenosis. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2831–2836.

5. Kang SJ, Lee JY, Ahn JM, et al. Intravascular ultrasound-derived predictors for fractional flow reserve in intermediate left main disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:1168–1174.
6. Waksman R, Legutko J, Singh J, et al. FIRST: Fractional Flow Reserve and Intravascular Ultrasound Relationship Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:917–923.
7. Koo BK, Yang HM, Doh JH, et al. Optimal intravascular ultrasound criteria and their accuracy for defining the functional significance of intermediate coronary stenoses of different locations. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:803–811.
8. Kubo T, Akasaka T, Shite J, et al. OCT compared with IVUS in a coronary lesion assessment: the OPUS-CLASS study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:1095–1104.

5.1. Revascularization of the Infarct Artery in Patients With STEMI

1. Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2003;361:13–20.
2. Zijlstra F, Hoorntje JC, de Boer MJ, et al. Long-term benefit of primary angioplasty as compared with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:1413–1419.
3. Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Coronary Arteries in Acute Coronary Syndromes (GUSTO IIb) Angioplasty Substudy Investigators. A clinical trial comparing primary coronary angioplasty with tissue plasminogen activator for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336:1621–1628.
4. Andersen HR, Nielsen TT, Rasmussen K, et al. A comparison of coronary angioplasty with fibrinolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349:733–742.
5. Grines CL, Browne KF, Marco J, et al. A comparison of immediate angioplasty with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction. The Primary Angioplasty in Myocardial Infarction Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:673–679.
6. Hochman JS, Sleeper LA, Webb JG, et al. Early revascularization in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. SHOCK Investigators. Should We Emergently Revascularize Occluded Coronaries for Cardiogenic Shock? *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:625–634.
7. Mehta RH, Lopes RD, Ballotta A, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass surgery for cardiogenic shock and multivessel coronary artery disease? *Am Heart J*. 2010;159:141–147.
8. Chevalier P, Burri H, Fahrat F, et al. Perioperative outcome and long-term survival of surgery for acute post-infarction mitral regurgitation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2004;26:330–335.
9. Russo A, Suri RM, Grigioni F, et al. Clinical outcome after surgical correction of mitral regurgitation due to papillary muscle rupture. *Circulation*. 2008;118:1528–1534.
10. Gershlick AH, Stephens-Lloyd A, Hughes S, et al. Rescue angioplasty after failed thrombolytic therapy

for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:2758-2768.

11. Sutton AG, Campbell PG, Graham R, et al. A randomized trial of rescue angioplasty versus a conservative approach for failed fibrinolysis in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the Middlebrough Early Revascularization to Limit Infarction (MERLIN) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;44:287-296.

12. Wijeysondera HC, Vijayaraghavan R, Nallamothu BK, et al. Rescue angioplasty or repeat fibrinolysis after failed fibrinolytic therapy for ST-segment myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:422-430.

13. Collet J-P, Montalescot G, Le May M, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention after fibrinolysis: a multiple meta-analyses approach according to the type of strategy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1326-1335.

14. Madan M, Halvorsen S, Di Mario C, et al. Relationship between time to invasive assessment and clinical outcomes of patients undergoing an early invasive strategy after fibrinolysis for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a patient-level analysis of the randomized early routine invasive clinical trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:166-174.

15. Cantor WJ, Fitchett D, Borgundvaag B, et al. Routine early angioplasty after fibrinolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:2705-2718.

16. Di Mario C, Dudek D, Piscione F, et al. Immediate angioplasty versus standard therapy with rescue angioplasty after thrombolysis in the Combined Abciximab REtreatment Stent Study in Acute Myocardial Infarction (CARESS-in-AMI): an open, prospective, randomised, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2008;371:559-568.

17. Borgia F, Goodman SG, Halvorsen S, et al. Early routine percutaneous coronary intervention after fibrinolysis vs. standard therapy in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:2156-2169.

18. Armstrong PW, WEST Steering Committee. A comparison of pharmacologic therapy with/without timely coronary intervention vs. primary percutaneous intervention early after ST-elevation myocardial infarction: the WEST (Which Early ST-elevation myocardial infarction Therapy) study. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:1530-1538.

19. Fernandez-Avilés F, Alonso JJ, Castro-Beiras A, et al. Routine invasive strategy within 24 hours of thrombolysis versus ischaemia-guided conservative approach for acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation (GRACIA-1): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2004;364:1045-1053.

20. Le May MR, Wells GA, Labinaz M, et al. Combined angioplasty and pharmacological intervention versus thrombolysis alone in acute myocardial infarction (CAPITAL AMI study). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;46:417-424.

21. Schömig A, Mehilli J, Antoniucci D, et al. Mechanical reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction presenting more than 12 hours from symptom onset: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2005;293:2865-2872.

22. Gierlotka M, Gasior M, Wilczek K, et al. Reperfusion by primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction within 12 to 24 hours of the onset of symptoms (from a prospective national observational study [PL-ACS]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:501-508.

23. Pi Y, Roe MT, Holmes DN, et al. Utilization, characteristics, and in-hospital outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: results from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry—Get With The Guidelines. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2017;10:e003490.

24. Grothusen C, Friedrich C, Loehr J, et al. Outcome of stable patients with acute myocardial infarction and coronary artery bypass surgery within 48 hours: a single-center, retrospective experience. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6:e005498.

25. Hochman JS, Lamas GA, Buller CE, et al. Coronary intervention for persistent occlusion after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:2395-2407.

26. Steg PG, Thuair C, Himbert D, et al. DECOPI (DEobstruction COronaire en Post-Infarctus): a randomized multi-centre trial of occluded artery angioplasty after acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J*. 2004;25:2187-2194.

27. Mehta RH, Grab JD, O'Brien SM, et al. Clinical characteristics and in-hospital outcomes of patients with cardiogenic shock undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: insights from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Cardiac Database. *Circulation*. 2008;117:876-885.

28. Acharya D, Gulack BC, Loyaga-Rendon RY, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: data from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2016;101:558-566.

29. Santarpino G, Ruggieri VG, Mariscalco G, et al. Outcome in patients having salvage coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;116:1193-1198.

30. Pinto DS, Kirtane AJ, Nallamothu BK, et al. Hospital delays in reperfusion for ST-elevation myocardial infarction: implications when selecting a reperfusion strategy. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2019-2025.

31. Armstrong PW, Gershlick AH, Goldstein P, et al. Fibrinolysis or primary PCI in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:1379-1387.

32. Braxton JH, Hammond GL, Letsou GV, et al. Optimal timing of coronary artery bypass graft surgery after acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1995;92:1166-1168.

33. Tavakoli R, Weber A, Brunner-La Rocca H, et al. Results of surgery for irreversible moderate to severe mitral valve regurgitation secondary to myocardial infarction. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2002;21:818-824.

34. Shamshad F, Kenchaiah S, Finn PV, et al. Fatal myocardial rupture after acute myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both: the VALsartan In Acute myocardial iNfarcTion Trial (VALIANT). *Am Heart J*. 2010;160:145-151.

35. Schroeter T, Lehmann S, Misfeld M, et al. Clinical outcome after mitral valve surgery due to ischemic papillary muscle rupture. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2013;95:820-824.

36. Arnaoutakis GJ, Zhao Y, George TJ, et al. Surgical repair of ventricular septal defect after myocardial infarction: outcomes from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2012;94:436-443. discussion 43-4.

37. Singh V, Rodriguez AP, Bhatt P, et al. Ventricular septal defect complicating ST-elevation myocardial infarctions: a call for action. *Am J Med*. 2017;130:863.e1-863.e12.

38. Lanz J, Wyss D, Räber L, et al. Mechanical complications in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a single centre experience. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0209502.

39. Wan Y-D, Sun T-W, Kan Q-C, et al. The effects of intra-aortic balloon pumps on mortality in patients undergoing high-risk coronary revascularization: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of coronary artery bypass grafting and stenting era. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0147291.

40. Kettner J, Sramko M, Holek M, et al. Utility of intra-aortic balloon pump support for ventricular septal rupture and acute mitral regurgitation complicating acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;112:1709-1713.

41. Mason PJ, Shah B, Tamis-Holland JE, et al. An update on radial artery access and best practices for transradial coronary angiography and intervention in acute coronary syndrome: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:e000035.

42. D'Souza SP, Mamas MA, Fraser DG, et al. Routine early coronary angioplasty versus ischaemia-guided angioplasty after thrombolysis in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:972-982.

43. Ndrepepa G, Kastrati A, Mehilli J, et al. Mechanical reperfusion and long-term mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction presenting 12 to 48 hours from onset of symptoms. *JAMA*. 2009;301:487-488.

5.2. Revascularization of the Non-Infarct Artery in Patients With STEMI

1. Politi L, Sgura F, Rossi R, et al. A randomised trial of target-vessel versus multi-vessel revascularisation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: major adverse cardiac events during long-term follow-up. *Heart*. 2010;96:662-667.

2. Gershlick AH, Khan JN, Kelly DJ, et al. Randomized trial of complete versus lesion-only revascularization in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for STEMI and multivessel disease: the CvLPRIT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:963-972.

3. Engstrom T, Kelbaek H, Helqvist S, et al. Complete revascularisation versus treatment of the culprit lesion only in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and multivessel disease (DANAMI-3-PRIMULTI): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;386:665-671.

4. Mehta SR, Wood DA, Storey RF, et al. Complete revascularization with multivessel PCI for myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381:1411-1421.

5. Di Mario C, Mara S, Flavio A, et al. Single vs multi-vessel treatment during primary angioplasty: results of the multicentre randomised HEpacoat for cuLPrit or multivessel stenting for Acute Myocardial Infarction

(HELP AMI) Study. *Int J Cardiovasc Intervent.* 2004;6:128-133.

6. Wald DS, Morris JK, Wald NJ, et al. Randomized trial of preventive angioplasty in myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369:1115-1123.

7. Smits PC, Abdel-Wahab M, Neumann FJ, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided multivessel angioplasty in myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:1234-1244.

8. Thiele H, Akin I, Sandri M, et al. PCI strategies in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:2419-2432.

9. Thiele H, Akin I, Sandri M, et al. One-year outcomes after PCI strategies in cardiogenic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:1699-1710.

10. Kolte D, Sardar P, Khera S, et al. Culprit vessel-only versus multivessel percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with cardiogenic shock complicating ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:e005582.

11. Bates ER, Tamis-Holland JE, Bittl JA, et al. PCI strategies in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and multivessel coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1066-1081.

12. Wood DA, Cairns JA, Wang J, et al. Timing of staged nonculprit artery revascularization in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: COMPLETE Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:2713-2723.

13. Dehmer GJ, Badhwar V, Bermudez EA, et al. 2020 AHA/ACC key data elements and definitions for coronary revascularization: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards (Writing Committee to Develop Clinical Data Standards for Coronary Revascularization). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75:1975-2088.

14. STS. ACS Training Manual. 2019. Accessed March 30, 2021. Available at https://www.sts.org/sites/default/files/ACS_TrainingManualV2-9_July2019.pdf

15. Eagle KA, Guyton RA, Davidoff R, et al. ACC/AHA 2004 guideline update for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1999 Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery). *Circulation.* 2004;110:e340-e437.

6.1. Coronary Angiography and Revascularization in Patients With NSTEMI-ACS

1. Cannon CP, Weintraub WS, Demopoulos LA, et al. Comparison of early invasive and conservative strategies in patients with unstable coronary syndromes treated with the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor tirofiban. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344:1879-1887.

2. Fox KA, Poole-Wilson PA, Henderson RA, et al. Interventional versus conservative treatment for patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: the British Heart Foundation RITA 3 randomised trial. *Randomized Intervention Trial of unstable Angina.* *Lancet.* 2002;360:743-751.

3. Invasive compared with non-invasive treatment in unstable coronary-artery disease: FRISC II prospective randomised multicentre study. FRagmin and Fast

Revascularisation during InStability in Coronary artery disease Investigators. *Lancet.* 1999;354:708-715.

4. Mehta SR, Cannon CP, Fox KAA, et al. Routine vs selective invasive strategies in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. *JAMA.* 2005;293:2908-2917.

5. Liakopoulos OJ, Schlachtenberger G, Wendt D, et al. Early clinical outcomes of surgical myocardial revascularization for acute coronary syndromes complicated by cardiogenic shock: a report from the North-Rhine-Westphalia Surgical Myocardial Infarction Registry. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8:e012049.

6. Acharya D, Gulack BC, Loyaga-Rendon RY, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: data from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2016;101:558-566.

7. Mehta RH, Grab JD, O'Brien SM, et al. Clinical characteristics and in-hospital outcomes of patients with cardiogenic shock undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: insights from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Cardiac Database. *Circulation.* 2008;117:876-885.

8. White HD, Assmann SF, Sanborn TA, et al. Comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass grafting after acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: results from the Should We Emergently Revascularize Occluded Coronaries for Cardiogenic Shock (SHOCK) trial. *Circulation.* 2005;112:1992-2001.

9. Hochman JS, Sleeper LA, Webb JG, et al. Early revascularization in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. SHOCK Investigators. Should we emergently revascularize occluded coronaries for cardiogenic shock? *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:625-634.

10. Kolte D, Khera S, Dabhadkar KC, et al. Trends in coronary angiography, revascularization, and outcomes of cardiogenic shock complicating non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;117:1-9.

11. Jobs A, Mehta SR, Montalescot G, et al. Optimal timing of an invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2017;390:737-746.

12. Kofoed KF, Kelbæk H, Hansen PR, et al. Early versus standard care invasive examination and treatment of patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Circulation.* 2018;138:2741-2750.

13. Milosevic A, Vasiljevic-Pokrajic Z, Milasinovic D, et al. Immediate versus delayed invasive intervention for non-STEMI patients: the RIDDLE-NSTEMI study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:541-549.

14. Reuter P-G, Rouchy C, Cattan S, et al. Early invasive strategy in high-risk acute coronary syndrome without ST-segment elevation. The Sisca randomized trial. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;182:414-418.

15. Deharo P, Ducrocq G, Bode C, et al. Timing of angiography and outcomes in high-risk patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction managed invasively: insights from the TAO trial (Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome With Otamixaban). *Circulation.* 2017;136:1895-1907.

16. Mehta SR, Granger CB, Boden WE, et al. Early versus delayed invasive intervention in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:2165-2175.

17. Axelsson TA, Mennander A, Malmberg M, et al. Is emergency and salvage coronary artery bypass grafting justified? The Nordic Emergency/Salvage Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;49:1451-1456.

18. Thiele H, Akin I, Sandri M, et al. PCI strategies in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:2419-2432.

19. Thiele H, Akin I, Sandri M, et al. One-year outcomes after PCI strategies in cardiogenic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:1699-1710.

20. Qayyum R, Khalid MR, Adomaityte J, et al. Systematic review: comparing routine and selective invasive strategies for the acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;148:186-196.

21. Fox KAA, Clayton TC, Damman P, et al. Long-term outcome of a routine versus selective invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:2435-2445.

22. de Araújo Gonçalves P, Ferreira J, Aguiar C, et al. TIMI, PURSUIT, and GRACE risk scores: sustained prognostic value and interaction with revascularization in NSTEMI-ACS. *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:865-872.

23. Fox KAA, Fitzgerald G, Puymirat E, et al. Should patients with acute coronary disease be stratified for management according to their risk? Derivation, external validation and outcomes using the updated GRACE risk score. *BMJ Open.* 2014;4:e004425.

24. Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). *The GRACE ACS Risk Score Calculator 2.0*; 2021. Accessed June 9, 2021. Available at https://www.outcomes-umassmed.org/grace/acs_risk2/index.html

25. TIMI Study Group. *TIMI Risk Score Calculator for UA/NSTEMI*; 2020. Accessed June 9, 2021. Available at <https://timi.org/calculators/timi-risk-score-calculator-for-ua-nstemii/>

26. Antman EM, Cohen M, Bernink PJ, et al. The TIMI risk score for unstable angina/non-ST elevation MI: a method for prognostication and therapeutic decision making. *JAMA.* 2000;284:835-842.

27. Wan Y-D, Sun T-W, Kan Q-C, et al. The effects of intra-aortic balloon pumps on mortality in patients undergoing high-risk coronary revascularization: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of coronary artery bypass grafting and stenting era. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0147291.

28. Awan A, Ogunti R, Fatima U, et al. Timing of percutaneous coronary intervention in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome—meta-analysis and systematic review of literature. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2020;21:1398-1404.

29. Badings EA, The SHK, Dambrink J-HE, et al. Early or late intervention in high-risk non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: results of the ELISA-3 trial. *EuroIntervention.* 2013;9:54-61.

30. Navarese EP, Gurbel PA, Andreotti F, et al. Optimal timing of coronary invasive strategy in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158:261-270.

31. Gore J, Fox KAA. *GRACE ACS Risk and Mortality Calculator.* 2021. Accessed September 20, 2019. Available at <https://www.mdcalc.com/grace-acs-risk-mortality-calculator>

7.1. Revascularization to Improve Survival in SIHD Compared With Medical Therapy

1. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Jones RH, et al. Coronary-artery bypass surgery in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1511-1520.
2. Gaudino M, Hameed I, Khan FM, et al. Treatment strategies in ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction: a network meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2021;59:293-301.
3. Coronary artery surgery study (CASS): a randomized trial of coronary artery bypass surgery: survival data. *Circulation*. 1983;68:939-950.
4. Marui A, Kimura T, Nishiwaki N, et al. Comparison of five-year outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with left ventricular ejection fractions $\leq 50\%$ versus $>50\%$ (from the CREDO-Kyoto PCI/CABG Registry Cohort-2). *Am J Cardiol*. 2014;114:988-996.
5. Zhang D, Lyu S, Song X, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction: a meta-analysis. *Angiology*. 2017;68:19-28.
6. Orlandini A, Castellana N, Pascual A, et al. Myocardial viability for decision-making concerning revascularization in patients with left ventricular dysfunction and coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of non-randomized and randomized studies. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015;182:494-499.
7. Wolff G, Dimitroulis D, Andreotti F, et al. Survival benefits of invasive versus conservative strategies in heart failure in patients with reduced ejection fraction and coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2017;10:e003255.
8. Passamani E, Davis KB, Gillespie MJ, et al. A randomized trial of coronary artery bypass surgery: survival of patients with a low ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med*. 1985;312:1665-1671.
9. Bittl JA, He Y, Jacobs AK, et al. Bayesian methods affirm the use of percutaneous coronary intervention to improve survival in patients with unprotected left main coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2013;127:2177-2185.
10. Yusuf S, Zucker D, Peduzzi P, et al. Effect of coronary artery bypass graft surgery on survival: overview of 10-year results from randomised trials by the Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Trialists Collaboration. *Lancet*. 1994;344:563-570.
11. Takaro T, Peduzzi P, Detre KM, et al. Survival in subgroups of patients with left main coronary artery disease. Veterans Administration Cooperative Study of Surgery for Coronary Arterial Occlusive Disease. *Circulation*. 1982;66:14-22.
12. Talano JV, Scanlon PJ, Meadows WR, et al. Influence of surgery on survival in 145 patients with left main coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 1975;52(suppl 2):I-105-I-111.
13. Long-term results of prospective randomised study of coronary artery bypass surgery in stable angina pectoris. European Coronary Surgery Study Group. *Lancet*. 1982;2:1173-1180.
14. Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, et al. Initial invasive or conservative strategy for stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1395-1407.
15. Bangalore S, Maron DJ, Stone GW, et al. Routine revascularization versus initial medical therapy for stable ischemic heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Circulation*. 2020;142:841-857.
16. Chacko L, P Howard J, Rajkumar C, et al. Effects of percutaneous coronary intervention on death and myocardial infarction stratified by stable and unstable coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13:e006363.
17. Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Teo KK, et al. Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:1503-1516.
18. Sedlis SP, Hartigan PM, Teo KK, et al. Effect of PCI on long-term survival in patients with stable ischemic heart disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:1937-1946.
19. Hueb W, Soares PR, Gersh BJ, et al. The medicine, angioplasty, or surgery study (MASS-II): a randomized, controlled clinical trial of three therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease: one-year results. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:1743-1751.
20. Hueb W, Lopes N, Gersh BJ, et al. Ten-year follow-up survival of the Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS II): a randomized controlled clinical trial of 3 therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2010;122:949-957.
21. Hueb W, Lopes NH, Gersh BJ, et al. Five-year follow-up of the Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS II): a randomized controlled clinical trial of 3 therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1082-1089.
22. TIME Investigators. Trial of invasive versus medical therapy in elderly patients with chronic symptomatic coronary-artery disease (TIME): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2001;358:951-957.
23. Pitt B, Waters D, Brown WV, et al. Aggressive lipid-lowering therapy compared with angioplasty in stable coronary artery disease. Atorvastatin versus Revascularization Treatment Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:70-76.
24. Chaitman BR, Hardison RM, Adler D, et al. The Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes randomized trial of different treatment strategies in type 2 diabetes mellitus with stable ischemic heart disease: impact of treatment strategy on cardiac mortality and myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2009;120:2529-2540.
25. Kapoor JR, Gienger AL, Ardehali R, et al. Isolated disease of the proximal left anterior descending artery comparing the effectiveness of percutaneous coronary interventions and coronary artery bypass surgery. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;1:483-491.
26. Jones RH, Kesler K, Phillips HR 3rd, et al. Long-term survival benefits of coronary artery bypass grafting and percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1996;111:1013-1025.
27. Aziz O, Rao C, Panesar SS, et al. Meta-analysis of minimally invasive internal thoracic artery bypass versus percutaneous revascularisation for isolated lesions of the left anterior descending artery. *BMJ*. 2007;334:617.
28. Hannan EL, Samadashvili Z, Cozzens K, et al. Comparative outcomes for patients who do and do not undergo percutaneous coronary intervention for stable coronary artery disease in New York. *Circulation*. 2012;125:1870-1879.
29. Smith PK, Califf RM, Tuttle RH, et al. Selection of surgical or percutaneous coronary intervention provides differential longevity benefit. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2006;82:1420-1428. discussion 8-9.
30. Pijls NHJ, van Schaardenburgh P, Manoharan G, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally nonsignificant stenosis: 5-year follow-up of the DEFER study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:2105-2111.
31. Hamad MAS, van Straten AHM, Schönberger JPAM, et al. Preoperative ejection fraction as a predictor of survival after coronary artery bypass grafting: comparison with a matched general population. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2010;5:29.
32. Jiang L, Xu L, Song L, et al. Comparison of three treatment strategies for patients with triple-vessel coronary disease and left ventricular dysfunction. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2018;31:310-318.
33. Uyar IS, Sahin V, Akpınar MB, et al. Decision making and results of coronary artery bypass grafting for patients with poor left ventricular function. *Heart Surg Forum*. 2013;16:E118-E124.
34. Katritsis DG, Ioannidis JPA. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus conservative therapy in nonacute coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2906-2912.
35. Doenst T, Haverich A, Serruys P, et al. PCI and CABG for treating stable coronary artery disease: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:964-976.
36. Stergiopoulos K, Brown DL. Initial coronary stent implantation with medical therapy vs medical therapy alone for stable coronary artery disease: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012;172:312-319.
37. Windecker S, Storteky S, Stefanini GG, et al. Revascularisation versus medical treatment in patients with stable coronary artery disease: network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g3859.
38. Group BDS, Frye RL, August P, et al. A randomized trial of therapies for type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:2503-2515.
39. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Deja MA, et al. Coronary-artery bypass surgery in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:1607-1616.
40. Petrie MC, Jhund PS, She L, et al. Ten-year outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting according to age in patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction: an analysis of the extended follow-up of the STICH trial (Surgical Treatment for Ischemic Heart Failure). *Circulation*. 2016;134:1314-1324.
41. Bonow RO, Maurer G, Lee KL, et al. Myocardial viability and survival in ischemic left ventricular dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:1617-1625.
42. Veterans Administration Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery Cooperative Study Group. Eleven-year survival in the Veterans Administration randomized trial of coronary bypass surgery for stable angina. *N Engl J Med*. 1984;311:1333-1339.
43. Murphy ML, Hultgren HN, Detre K, et al. Treatment of chronic stable angina. A preliminary report of survival data of the randomized Veterans Administration cooperative study. *N Engl J Med*. 1977;297:621-627.

44. Varnauskas E. Twelve-year follow-up of survival in the randomized European Coronary Surgery Study. *N Engl J Med.* 1988;319:332-337.
45. Mathur VS, Guinn GA. Prospective randomized study of the surgical therapy of stable angina. *Cardiovasc Clin.* 1977;8:131-144.
46. Chaitman BR, Fisher LD, Bourassa MG, et al. Effect of coronary bypass surgery on survival patterns in subsets of patients with left main coronary artery disease: report of the Collaborative Study in Coronary Artery Surgery (CASS). *Am J Cardiol.* 1981;48:765-777.
47. Lee PH, Ahn J-M, Chang M, et al. Left main coronary artery disease: secular trends in patient characteristics, treatments, and outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1233-1246.
48. Dzavik V, Ghali WA, Norris C, et al. Long-term survival in 11,661 patients with multivessel coronary artery disease in the era of stenting: a report from the Alberta Provincial Project for Outcome Assessment in Coronary Heart Disease (APPROACH) Investigators. *Am Heart J.* 2001;142:119-126.
49. Morice M-C, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP, et al. Five-year outcomes in patients with left main disease treated with either percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting in the synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery trial. *Circulation.* 2014;129:2388-2394.
50. Mäkikallio T, Holm NR, Lindsay M, et al. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in treatment of unprotected left main stenosis (NOBLE): a prospective, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2743-2752.
51. Holm NR, Mäkikallio T, Lindsay MM, et al. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in the treatment of unprotected left main stenosis: updated 5-year outcomes from the randomised, non-inferiority NOBLE trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395:191-199.
52. Kuno T, Ueyama H, Rao SV, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am Heart J.* 2020;227:9-10.
53. Park D-W, Ahn J-M, Park H, et al. Ten-year outcomes after drug-eluting stents versus coronary artery bypass grafting for left main coronary disease: extended follow-up of the PRECOMBAT trial. *Circulation.* 2020;141:1437-1446.
54. Ahmad Y, Howard JP, Arnold AD, et al. Mortality after drug-eluting stents vs. coronary artery bypass grafting for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41:3228-3235.
55. Gallo M, Blitzer D, Laforgia PL, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass graft for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020. S0022-5223(20)30888-6.
56. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:e123-e210.
57. Myers WO, Schaff HV, Gersh BJ, et al. Improved survival of surgically treated patients with triple vessel coronary artery disease and severe angina pectoris: a report from the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) registry. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1989;97:487-495.
58. Collins R, Reith C, Emberson J, et al. Interpretation of the evidence for the efficacy and safety of statin therapy. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2532-2561.
59. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1082-1115.
60. Navarese EP, Lansky AJ, Kereiakes DJ, et al. Cardiac mortality in patients randomised to elective coronary revascularisation plus medical therapy or medical therapy alone: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;ehab246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab246>. Online ahead of print May 18, 2021.
61. Vij A, Kassab K, Chawla H, et al. Invasive therapy versus conservative therapy for patients with stable coronary artery disease: an updated meta-analysis. *Clin Cardiol.* 2021;44:675-682.
62. Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK. Revascularization versus medical therapy for the treatment of stable coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of contemporary randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol.* 2021;324:13-21.
63. Brooks MM, Chaitman BR, Nesto RW, et al. Clinical and angiographic risk stratification and differential impact on treatment outcomes in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) trial. *Circulation.* 2012;126:2115-2124.
64. Hueb WA, Bellotti G, de Oliveira SA, et al. The Medicine, Angioplasty or Surgery Study (MASS): a prospective, randomized trial of medical therapy, balloon angioplasty or bypass surgery for single proximal left anterior descending artery stenoses. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1995;26:1600-1605.
65. Hueb WA, Soares PR, Almeida De Oliveira S, et al. Five-year follow-up of the medicine, angioplasty, or surgery study (MASS): a prospective, randomized trial of medical therapy, balloon angioplasty, or bypass surgery for single proximal left anterior descending coronary artery stenosis. *Circulation.* 1999;100:II-107-II-113.
66. Cashin WL, Sanmarco ME, Nessim SA, et al. Accelerated progression of atherosclerosis in coronary vessels with minimal lesions that are bypassed. *N Engl J Med.* 1984;311:824-828.
67. Pijls NHJ, Fearon WF, Tonino PAL, et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guiding percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease: 2-year follow-up of the FAME (Fractional Flow Reserve Versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:177-184.
68. Escaned J, Ryan N, Mejía-Rentería H, et al. Safety of the deferral of coronary revascularization on the basis of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve measurements in stable coronary artery disease and acute coronary syndromes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:1437-1449.
69. Zimmermann FM, Ferrara A, Johnson NP, et al. Deferral vs. performance of percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally non-significant coronary stenosis: 15-year follow-up of the DEFER trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:3182-3188.

7.2. Revascularization to Reduce Cardiovascular Events in SIHD Compared With Medical Therapy

1. Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, et al. Initial invasive or conservative strategy for stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:1395-1407.
2. Hueb W, Lopes N, Gersh BJ, et al. Ten-year follow-up survival of the Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS II): a randomized controlled clinical trial of 3 therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation.* 2010;122:949-957.
3. Navarese EP, Lansky AJ, Kereiakes DJ, et al. Cardiac mortality in patients randomised to elective coronary revascularisation plus medical therapy or medical therapy alone: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;ehab246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab246>. Online ahead of print May 18, 2021.
4. Chaitman BR, Alexander KP, Cyr DD, et al. Myocardial infarction in the ISCHEMIA Trial: impact of different definitions on incidence, prognosis, and treatment comparisons. *Circulation.* 2021;143:790-804.
5. De Bruyne B, Fearon WF, Pijls NHJ, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI for stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371:1208-1217.
6. De Bruyne B, Pijls NHJ, Kalesan B, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI versus medical therapy in stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:991-1001.
7. Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK. Revascularization versus medical therapy for the treatment of stable coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of contemporary randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol.* 2021;324:13-21.
8. Vij A, Kassab K, Chawla H, et al. Invasive therapy versus conservative therapy for patients with stable coronary artery disease: an updated meta-analysis. *Clin Cardiol.* 2021;44:675-682.
9. Windecker S, Stortecky S, Stefanini GG, et al. Revascularisation versus medical treatment in patients with stable coronary artery disease: network meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2014;348:g3859.
10. Chacko L, P Howard J, Rajkumar C, et al. Effects of percutaneous coronary intervention on death and myocardial infarction stratified by stable and unstable coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized

controlled trials. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13:e006363.

11. Bangalore S, Maron DJ, Stone GW, et al. Routine revascularization versus initial medical therapy for stable ischemic heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Circulation*. 2020;142:841-857.

12. Doenst T, Haverich A, Serruys P, et al. PCI and CABG for treating stable coronary artery disease: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:964-976.

13. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:2231-2264.

7.3. Revascularization to Improve Symptoms

1. Spertus JA, Jones PG, Maron DJ, et al. Health-status outcomes with invasive or conservative care in coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1408-1419.

2. Nishigaki K, Yamazaki T, Kitabatake A, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention plus medical therapy reduces the incidence of acute coronary syndrome more effectively than initial medical therapy only among patients with low-risk coronary artery disease: a randomized, comparative, multicenter study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;1:469-479.

3. Fearon WF, Nishi T, De Bruyne B, et al. Clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness of fractional flow reserve-guided percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with stable coronary artery disease: three-year follow-up of the FAME 2 trial (Fractional Flow Reserve Versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation). *Circulation*. 2018;137:480-487.

4. Abdallah MS, Wang K, Magnuson EA, et al. Quality of life after PCI vs CABG among patients with diabetes and multivessel coronary artery disease: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2013;310:1581-1590.

5. Baron SJ, Chinnakondepalli K, Magnuson EA, et al. Quality-of-life after everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for left-main disease: results from the EXCEL Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:3113-3122.

6. Brooks MM, Chung SC, Helmy T, et al. Health status after treatment for coronary artery disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes trial. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1690-1699.

7. Cashin WL, Sanmarco ME, Nessim SA, et al. Accelerated progression of atherosclerosis in coronary vessels with minimal lesions that are bypassed. *N Engl J Med*. 1984;311:824-828.

8. Pitt B, Waters D, Brown WV, et al. Aggressive lipid-lowering therapy compared with angioplasty in stable coronary artery disease. Atorvastatin versus Revascularization Treatment Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341:70-76.

9. Wijeyesundera HC, Nallamothu BK, Krumholz HM, et al. Meta-analysis: effects of percutaneous coronary intervention versus medical therapy on angina relief. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152:370-379.

10. Weintraub WS, Spertus JA, Kolm P, et al. Effect of PCI on quality of life in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359:677-687.

11. Al-Lamee R, Thompson D, Dehbi HM, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in stable angina (ORBITA): a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;391:31-40.

8.1. Patients With Complex Disease

1. Morice MC, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP, et al. Five-year outcomes in patients with left main disease treated with either percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting in the synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery trial. *Circulation*. 2014;129:2388-2394.

2. Mohr FW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, et al. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with three-vessel disease and left main coronary disease: 5-year follow-up of the randomised, clinical SYNTAX trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381:629-638.

3. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:961-972.

4. Thuijs D, Kappetein AP, Serruys PW, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with three-vessel or left main coronary artery disease: 10-year follow-up of the multicentre randomised controlled SYNTAX trial. *Lancet*. 2019;394:1325-1334.

5. Head SJ, Milojevic M, Daemen J, et al. Mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting for coronary artery disease: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet*. 2018;391:939-948.

6. Makikallio T, Holm NR, Lindsay M, et al. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in treatment of unprotected left main stenosis (NOBLE): a prospective, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2016;388:2743-2752.

7. Buszman PE, Buszman PP, Banasiewicz-Szkrobka I, et al. Left main stenting in comparison with surgical revascularization: 10-year outcomes of the (Left Main Coronary Artery Stenting) LE MANS Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:318-327.

8. Park SJ, Kim YH, Park DW, et al. Randomized trial of stents versus bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:1718-1727.

9. Boudriot E, Thiele H, Walther T, et al. Randomized comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention with sirolimus-eluting stents versus coronary artery bypass grafting in unprotected left main stem stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:538-545.

10. Seung KB, Park DW, Kim YH, et al. Stents versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:1781-1792.

11. Gallo M, Blitzer D, Laforgia PL, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass graft for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. Published online April 15, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2020.04.010>

12. Ahmad Y, Howard JP, Arnold AD, et al. Mortality after drug-eluting stents vs. coronary artery bypass grafting for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:3228-3235.

13. Bittl JA, He Y, Jacobs AK, et al. Bayesian methods affirm the use of percutaneous coronary intervention to improve survival in patients with unprotected left main coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2013;127:2177-2185.

14. Cavalcante R, Sotomi Y, Lee CW, et al. Outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention or bypass surgery in patients with unprotected left main disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:999-1009.

15. Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA, et al. Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:2375-2384.

16. Rodriguez AE, Baldi J, Fernandez Pereira C, et al. Five-year follow-up of the Argentine randomized trial of coronary angioplasty with stenting versus coronary bypass surgery in patients with multiple vessel disease (ERACI II). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;46:582-588.

17. Hueb W, Lopes N, Gersh BJ, et al. Ten-year follow-up survival of the Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS II): a randomized controlled clinical trial of 3 therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2010;122:949-957.

18. Gaudino M, Hameed I, Farkouh ME, et al. Overall and cause-specific mortality in randomized clinical trials comparing percutaneous interventions with coronary bypass surgery: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180:1638-1646.

19. Doenst T, Haverich A, Serruys P, et al. PCI and CABG for treating stable coronary artery disease: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:964-976.

20. Stone GW, Kappetein AP, Sabik JF, et al. Five-year outcomes after PCI or CABG for left main coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381:1820-1830.

8.2. Patients With Diabetes

1. Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA, et al. Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:2375-2384.

2. Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Dangas GD, et al. Long-term survival following multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes: the FREEDOM follow-on study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:629-638.

3. Kamalesh M, Sharp TG, Tang XC, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary bypass surgery in United States veterans with diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:808-816.

4. Kappetein AP, Head SJ, Morice MC, et al. Treatment of complex coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes: 5-year results comparing outcomes of bypass surgery and percutaneous coronary intervention in the SYNTAX trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2013;43:1006-1013.

5. Head SJ, Milojevic M, Daemen J, et al. Mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting for coronary artery disease: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet*. 2018;391:939-948.

6. Verma S, Farkouh ME, Yanagawa B, et al. Comparison of coronary artery bypass surgery and percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2013;1:317-328.

7. Park SJ, Ahn JM, Kim YH, et al. Trial of everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:1204-1212.

8. Park DW, Kim YH, Song HG, et al. Long-term outcome of stents versus bypass surgery in diabetic and nondiabetic patients with multivessel or left main coronary artery disease: a pooled analysis of 5775

individual patient data. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:467-475.

9. Pandey A, McGuire DK, de Lemos JA, et al. Revascularization trends in patients with diabetes mellitus and multivessel coronary artery disease presenting with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction: insights from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry-get with the guidelines (NCDR ACTION Registry-GWTG). *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2016;9:197-205.

10. Sedlis SP, Morrison DA, Lorin JD, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary bypass graft surgery for diabetic patients with unstable angina and risk factors for adverse outcomes with bypass: outcome of diabetic patients in the AWESOME randomized trial and registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;40:1555-1566.

11. Milojevic M, Serruys PW, Sabik JF 3rd, et al. Bypass surgery or stenting for left main coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:1616-1628.

12. Mohr FW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, et al. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with three-vessel disease and left main coronary disease: 5-year follow-up of the randomised, clinical SYNTAX trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381:629-638.

13. Stone GW, Sabik JF, Serruys PW, et al. Everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:2223-2235.

14. Makikallio T, Holm NR, Lindsay M, et al. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in treatment of unprotected left main stenosis (NOBLE): a prospective, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2743-2752.

15. Armstrong EJ, Rutledge JC, Rogers JH. Coronary artery revascularization in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Circulation.* 2013;128:1675-1685.

16. Thuijs D, Kappetein AP, Serruys PW, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with three-vessel or left main coronary artery disease: 10-year follow-up of the multicentre randomised controlled SYNTAX trial. *Lancet.* 2019;394:1325-1334.

17. Head SJ, Milojevic M, Daemen J, et al. Stroke rates following surgical versus percutaneous coronary revascularization. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72:386-398.

8.3. Patients With Previous CABG

1. Yap C-H, Sposato L, Akowuah E, et al. Contemporary results show repeat coronary artery bypass grafting remains a risk factor for operative mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:1386-1391.

2. Morrison DA, Sethi G, Sacks J, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus repeat bypass surgery for patients with medically refractory myocardial ischemia: AWESOME randomized trial and registry experience with post-CABG patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;40:1951-1954.

3. Brener SJ, Lytle BW, Casserly IP, et al. Predictors of revascularization method and long-term outcome of percutaneous coronary intervention or repeat coronary bypass surgery in patients with multivessel coronary disease and previous coronary bypass surgery. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:413-418.

4. Sabik JF, Raza S, Blackstone EH, et al. Value of internal thoracic artery grafting to the left anterior descending coronary artery at coronary reoperation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:302-310.

5. Tajti P, Karpaliotis D, Alaswad K, et al. In-hospital outcomes of chronic total occlusion percutaneous coronary interventions in patients with prior coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2019;12:e007338.

6. Toma A, Stähli BE, Gick M, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with previous coronary artery bypass grafting undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for chronic total occlusion. *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;118:1641-1646.

7. Pershad A, Gulati M, Karpaliotis D, et al. A sex stratified outcome analysis from the OPEN-CTO registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2019;93:1041-1047.

8. van der Heijden LC, Kok MM, Zocca P, et al. Long-term outcome of consecutive patients with previous coronary bypass surgery, treated with newer-generation drug-eluting stents. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e007212.

9. Brilakis ES, Rao SV, Banerjee S, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in native arteries versus bypass grafts in prior coronary artery bypass grafting patients: a report from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:844-850.

10. Alkhouli M, Alqahtani F, Alreshidan M, et al. Incidence, predictors, and outcomes of early acute myocardial infarction following coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol.* 2019;124:1027-1030.

11. Abdel-Karim A-RR, Banerjee S, Brilakis ES. Percutaneous intervention of acutely occluded saphenous vein grafts: contemporary techniques and outcomes. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2010;22:253-257.

12. Subramanian S, Sabik JF 3rd, Houghtaling PL, et al. Decision-making for patients with patent left internal thoracic artery grafts to left anterior descending. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:1392-1398. discussion 400.

13. Gyenes G, Norris CM, Graham MM. Percutaneous revascularization improves outcomes in patients with prior coronary artery bypass surgery. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;82:E148-E154.

8.4. DAPT Adherence

1. Almalla M, Schroder J, Hennings V, et al. Long-term outcome after angiographically proven coronary stent thrombosis. *Am J Cardiol.* 2013;111:1289-1294.

2. Brodie BR, Garg A, Stuckey TD, et al. Fixed and modifiable correlates of drug-eluting stent thrombosis from a large all-comers registry: insights from ADAPT-DES. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002568.

3. Cutlip DE, Kereiakes DJ, Mauri L, et al. Thrombotic complications associated with early and late non-adherence to dual antiplatelet therapy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:404-410.

4. Genereux P, Rutledge DR, Palmerini T, et al. Stent thrombosis and dual antiplatelet therapy interruption with everolimus-eluting stents: insights from the Xience V coronary stent system trials. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e001362.

5. Jeger RV, Pfisterer ME, Sorensen R, et al. Tradeoff between bleeding and stent thrombosis in different dual antiplatelet therapy regimens: importance of case fatality rates and effective treatment durations. *Am Heart J.* 2014;168:698-705.

6. Koskinas KC, Zanchin T, Klingenberg R, et al. Incidence, predictors, and clinical impact of early prasugrel cessation in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e008085.

7. Mehran R, Baber U, Steg PG, et al. Cessation of dual antiplatelet treatment and cardiac events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PARIS): 2 year results from a prospective observational study. *Lancet.* 2013;382:1714-1722.

8. Rozemeijer R, Wing Wong C, Leenders G, et al. Incidence, angiographic and clinical predictors, and impact of stent thrombosis: a 6-year survey of 6,545 consecutive patients. *Neth Heart J.* 2019;27:321-329.

9. Secemsky EA, Matteau A, Yeh RW, et al. Comparison of short- and long-term cardiac mortality in early versus late stent thrombosis (from pooled PROTECT trials). *Am J Cardiol.* 2015;115:1678-1684.

10. Silber S, Kirtane AJ, Belardi JA, et al. Lack of association between dual antiplatelet therapy use and stent thrombosis between 1 and 12 months following resolute zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1949-1956.

9.1. Revascularization in Pregnant Patients

1. Baris L, Hakeem A, Moe T, et al. Acute coronary syndrome and ischemic heart disease in pregnancy: data from the EURObservational Research Programme-European Society of Cardiology registry of pregnancy and cardiac disease. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2020;9:e015490.

2. Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, et al. Acute myocardial infarction during pregnancy and the puerperium in the United States. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2018;93:1404-1414.

3. Mehta LS, Warnes CA, Bradley E, et al. Cardiovascular considerations in caring for pregnant patients: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2020;141:e884-e903.

4. Nana M, Morgan H, Moore S, et al. Antiplatelet therapy in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Pharmacol Res.* 2021;168:105547.

5. Regitz-Zagrosek V, Roos-Hesselink JW, Bauersachs J, et al. 2018 ESC guidelines for the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:3165-3241.

6. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:e139-e228.

9.2. Revascularization in Older Patients

1. Tinetti ME, Bogardus ST Jr, Agostini JV. Potential pitfalls of disease-specific guidelines for patients with multiple conditions. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:2870-2874.

2. Yourman LC, Lee SJ, Schonberg MA, et al. Prognostic indices for older adults: a systematic review. *JAMA.* 2012;307:182-192.

3. Varenne O, Cook S, Sideris G, et al. Drug-eluting stents in elderly patients with coronary artery disease (SENIOR): a randomised single-blind trial. *Lancet.* 2018;391:41-50.

4. Roberts WC, Shirani J. Comparison of cardiac findings at necropsy in octogenarians, nonagenarians, and centenarians. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;82:627-631.
 5. Seki A, Fishbein MC. Age-related cardiovascular changes and diseases. In: Buja LM, Butany J, eds. *Cardiovascular Pathology*. Fourth Edition. Elsevier, Inc; 2016:57-83.
 6. Guagliumi G, Stone GW, Cox DA, et al. Outcome in elderly patients undergoing primary coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: results from the Controlled Abciximab and Device Investigation to Lower Late Angioplasty Complications (CADILLAC) trial. *Circulation.* 2004;110:1598-1604.
 7. Li L, Geraghty OC, Mehta Z, et al. Age-specific risks, severity, time course, and outcome of bleeding on long-term antiplatelet treatment after vascular events: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet.* 2017;390:490-499.
 8. Urban P, Meredith IT, Abizaid A, et al. Polymer-free drug-coated coronary stents in patients at high bleeding risk. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373:2038-2047.
 9. Lee PY, Alexander KP, Hammill BG, et al. Representation of elderly persons and women in published randomized trials of acute coronary syndromes. *JAMA.* 2001;286:708-713.
 10. Batchelor WB, Anstrom KJ, Muhlbaier LH, et al. Contemporary outcome trends in the elderly undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: results in 7,472 octogenarians. National Cardiovascular Network Collaboration. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2000;36:723-730.
 11. Johnman C, Oldroyd KG, Mackay DF, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in the elderly: changes in case-mix and periprocedural outcomes in 31,758 patients treated between 2000 and 2007. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:341-345.
 12. Singh M, Peterson ED, Roe MT, et al. Trends in the association between age and in-hospital mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention: National Cardiovascular Data Registry experience. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009;2:20-26.
 13. Capodanno D, Angiolillo DJ. Antithrombotic therapy in the elderly. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:1683-1692.
 14. Lakatta EG, Levy D. Arterial and cardiac aging: major shareholders in cardiovascular disease enterprises: part II: the aging heart in health: links to heart disease. *Circulation.* 2003;107:346-354.
 15. Newman AB, Naydeck BL, Sutton-Tyrrell K, et al. Coronary artery calcification in older adults to age 99: prevalence and risk factors. *Circulation.* 2001;104:2679-2684.
 16. Graham MM, Ghali WA, Faris PD, et al. Survival after coronary revascularization in the elderly. *Circulation.* 2002;105:2378-2384.
 17. Afilalo J, Karunanathan S, Eisenberg MJ, et al. Role of frailty in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;103:1616-1621.
 18. Stone GW, Sabik JF, Serruys PW, et al. Everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:2223-2235.
 19. Park SJ, Ahn JM, Kim YH, et al. Trial of everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for coronary disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372:1204-1212.
 20. Ahn JM, Roh JH, Kim YH, et al. Randomized trial of stents versus bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease: 5-year outcomes of the PRECOMBAT Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65:2198-2206.
 21. Madhavan MV, Gersh BJ, Alexander KP, et al. Coronary artery disease in patients ≥ 80 years of age. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:2015-2040.
- ### 9.3. Revascularization in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)
1. Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:1393-1399.
 2. Moscucci M, Rogers EK, Montoye C, et al. Association of a continuous quality improvement initiative with practice and outcome variations of contemporary percutaneous coronary interventions. *Circulation.* 2006;113:814-822.
 3. Mehran R, Dangas GD, Weisbord SD. Contrast-associated acute kidney injury. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:2146-2155.
 4. Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, et al. Management and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;227:1-7.
 5. Bhatia S, Arora S, Bhatia SM, et al. Non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction among patients with chronic kidney disease: a propensity score-matched comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention versus conservative management. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e007920.
 6. Bangalore S, Maron DJ, Fleg JL, et al. International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness with Medical and Invasive Approaches—Chronic Kidney Disease (ISCHEMIA-CKD): rationale and design. *Am Heart J.* 2018;205:42-52.
 7. Collins AJ, Foley RN, Gilbertson DT, et al. United States Renal Data System public health surveillance of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int Suppl (2011).* 2015;5:2-7.
 8. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA.* 2007;298:2038-2047.
 9. Fox KAA, Clayton TC, Damman P, et al. Long-term outcome of a routine versus selective invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:2435-2445.
 10. Eagle KA, Lim MJ, Dabbous OH, et al. A validated prediction model for all forms of acute coronary syndrome: estimating the risk of 6-month postdischarge death in an international registry. *JAMA.* 2004;291:2727-2733.
 11. Fox CS, Matsushita K, Woodward M, et al. Associations of kidney disease measures with mortality and end-stage renal disease in individuals with and without diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2012;380:1662-1673.
 12. Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium. *Lancet.* 2010;375:2073-2081.
 13. Tsai TT, Messenger JC, Brennan JM, et al. Safety and efficacy of drug-eluting stents in older patients with chronic kidney disease: a report from the linked CathPCI Registry-CMS claims database. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:1859-1869.
 14. Dehmer GJ, Weaver D, Roe MT, et al. A contemporary view of diagnostic cardiac catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention in the United States: a report from the CathPCI Registry of the National Cardiovascular Data Registry, 2010 through June 2011. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:2017-2031.
 15. Blicher TM, Hommel K, Olesen JB, et al. Less use of standard guideline-based treatment of myocardial infarction in patients with chronic kidney disease: a Danish nation-wide cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:2916-2923.
 16. Han JH, Chandra A, Mulgund J, et al. Chronic kidney disease in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Am J Med.* 2006;119:248-254.
 17. Wong JA, Goodman SG, Yan RT, et al. Temporal management patterns and outcomes of non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes in patients with kidney dysfunction. *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:549-557.
 18. Marenzi G, Assanelli E, Campodonico J, et al. Contrast volume during primary percutaneous coronary intervention and subsequent contrast-induced nephropathy and mortality. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150:170-177.
 19. Merten GJ, Burgess WP, Gray LV, et al. Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with sodium bicarbonate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004;291:2328-2334.
 20. Mueller C, Buerkle G, Buettner HJ, et al. Prevention of contrast media-associated nephropathy: randomized comparison of 2 hydration regimens in 1620 patients undergoing coronary angioplasty. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:329-336.
 21. Giacoppo D, Gargiulo G, Buccheri S, et al. Preventive strategies for contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary procedures: evidence from a hierarchical Bayesian network meta-analysis of 124 trials and 28 240 patients. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:e004383.
 22. Laskey WK, Jenkins C, Selzer F, et al. Volume-to-creatinine clearance ratio: a pharmacokinetically based risk factor for prediction of early creatinine increase after percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007;50:584-590.
 23. McCullough PA, Wolyn R, Rocher LL, et al. Acute renal failure after coronary intervention: incidence, risk factors, and relationship to mortality. *Am J Med.* 1997;103:368-375.
 24. Li Y, Liu Y, Fu L, et al. Efficacy of short-term high-dose statin in preventing contrast-induced nephropathy: a meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e34450.
 25. Leoncini M, Toso A, Maioli M, et al. Early high-dose rosuvastatin and cardioprotection in the protective effect of rosuvastatin and antiplatelet therapy on contrast-induced acute kidney injury and myocardial damage in patients with acute coronary syndrome (PRATO-ACS) study. *Am Heart J.* 2014;168:792-797.
 26. Davignon J. Beneficial cardiovascular pleiotropic effects of statins. *Circulation.* 2004;109:III-39-III-43.
 27. Ichiki T, Takeda K, Tokunou T, et al. Down-regulation of angiotensin II type 1 receptor by

hydrophobic 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2001;21:1896-1901.

28. Hernández-Perera O, Pérez-Sala D, Navarro-Antolín J, et al. Effects of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitors, atorvastatin and simvastatin, on the expression of endothelin-1 and endothelial nitric oxide synthase in vascular endothelial cells. *J Clin Invest.* 1998;101:2711-2719.

29. Bonetti PO, Lerman LO, Napoli C, et al. Statin effects beyond lipid lowering—are they clinically relevant? *Eur Heart J.* 2003;24:225-248.

30. Stratta P, Bozzola C, Quaglia M. Pitfall in nephrology: contrast nephropathy has to be differentiated from renal damage due to atheroembolic disease. *J Nephrol.* 2012;25:282-289.

31. Cortese B, Sciahbasi A, Sebik R, et al. Comparison of risk of acute kidney injury after primary percutaneous coronary interventions with the transradial approach versus the transfemoral approach (from the PRIPITENA urban registry). *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;114:820-825.

32. Andò G, Cortese B, Russo F, et al. Acute kidney injury after radial or femoral access for invasive acute coronary syndrome management: AKI-MATRIX. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017;69:2592-2603.

33. Kooiman J, Seth M, Dixon S, et al. Risk of acute kidney injury after percutaneous coronary interventions using radial versus femoral vascular access: insights from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:190-198.

34. Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2003;361:13-20.

35. Santopinto JJ, Fox KA, Goldberg RJ, et al. Creatinine clearance and adverse hospital outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes: findings from the global registry of acute coronary events (GRACE). *Heart.* 2003;89:1003-1008.

36. Januzzi JL, Cannon CP, DiBattiste PM, et al. Effects of renal insufficiency on early invasive management in patients with acute coronary syndromes (The TACTICS-TIMI 18 Trial). *Am J Cardiol.* 2002;90:1246-1249.

37. Gibson CM, Dumaine RL, Gelfand EV, et al. Association of glomerular filtration rate on presentation with subsequent mortality in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; observations in 13,307 patients in five TIMI trials. *Eur Heart J.* 2004;25:1998-2005.

38. ACT Investigators. Acetylcysteine for prevention of renal outcomes in patients undergoing coronary and peripheral vascular angiography: main results from the randomized Acetylcysteine for Contrast-induced nephropathy Trial (ACT). *Circulation.* 2011;124:1250-1259.

39. Thiele H, Hildebrand L, Schirdewahn C, et al. Impact of high-dose N-acetylcysteine versus placebo on contrast-induced nephropathy and myocardial reperfusion injury in unselected patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. The LIPSIAC-N-ACC (Prospective, Single-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Leipzig Immediate Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Acute Myocardial

Infarction N-ACC) Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:2201-2209.

40. Gonzales DA, Norsworthy KJ, Kern SJ, et al. A meta-analysis of N-acetylcysteine in contrast-induced nephrotoxicity: unsupervised clustering to resolve heterogeneity. *BMC Med.* 2007;5:32.

41. Vogt B, Ferrari P, Schönholzer C, et al. Prophylactic hemodialysis after radiocontrast media in patients with renal insufficiency is potentially harmful. *Am J Med.* 2001;111:692-698.

42. Cruz DN, Goh CY, Marenzi G, et al. Renal replacement therapies for prevention of radiocontrast-induced nephropathy: a systematic review. *Am J Med.* 2012;125:66-78.e3.

43. Warren J, Mehran R, Baber U, et al. Incidence and impact of acute kidney injury in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with coronary artery bypass grafting: insights from the Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) and Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage Strategy (ACUITY) trials. *Am Heart J.* 2016;171:40-47.

44. Mehta RH, Honeycutt E, Patel UD, et al. Relationship of the time interval between cardiac catheterization and elective coronary artery bypass surgery with postprocedural acute kidney injury. *Circulation.* 2011;124:S149-S155.

45. Mariscalco G, Banach M. Editorial: atrial fibrillation after coronary surgery: the need for an effective pharmacological prophylaxis. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol.* 2013;11:985-987.

9.4. Revascularization in Patients Before Noncardiac Surgery

1. McFalls EO, Ward HB, Moritz TE, et al. Coronary-artery revascularization before elective major vascular surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:2795-2804.

2. Smilowitz NR, Guo Y, Rao S, et al. Perioperative cardiovascular outcomes of non-cardiac solid organ transplant surgery. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes.* 2019;5:72-78.

3. Garcia S, Moritz TE, Ward HB, et al. Usefulness of revascularization of patients with multivessel coronary artery disease before elective vascular surgery for abdominal aortic and peripheral occlusive disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;102:809-813.

9.5. Revascularization in Patients to Reduce Ventricular Arrhythmias

1. Cook JR, Rizo-Patron C, Curtis AB, et al. Effect of surgical revascularization in patients with coronary artery disease and ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation in the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) Registry. *Am Heart J.* 2002;143:821-826.

2. Dumas F, Cariou A, Manzo-Silberman S, et al. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with better survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: insights from the PROCAT (Parisian Region Out of hospital Cardiac Arrest) registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:200-207.

3. Every NR, Fahrenbruch CE, Hallstrom AP, et al. Influence of coronary bypass surgery on subsequent outcome of patients resuscitated from out of hospital cardiac arrest. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1992;19:1435-1439.

4. Dumas F, Bougouin W, Geri G, et al. Emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in post-cardiac arrest patients without ST-segment elevation pattern: insights from the PROCAT II Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:1011-1018.

5. Brugada J, Aguinaga L, Mont L, et al. Coronary artery revascularization in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias in the chronic phase of a myocardial infarction: effects on the electrophysiologic substrate and outcome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2001;37:529-533.

6. Chiriac L, Dumitrescu S, Samoilă M, et al. Evaluation at patients with ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease of myocardial revascularization effects. *Rom J Intern Med.* 2010;48:47-50.

7. Mondésert B, Khairy P, Schram G, et al. Impact of revascularization in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias, prior myocardial infarction, and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Heart Rhythm.* 2016;13:1221-1227.

8. Elsookari I, Parkash R, Gray CJ, et al. Effect of coronary revascularization on long-term clinical outcomes in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and recurrent ventricular arrhythmia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2018;41:775-779.

9. Chi WK, Gong M, Bazoukis G, et al. Impact of coronary artery chronic total occlusion on arrhythmic and mortality outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol.* 2018;4:1214-1223.

10. Cronier P, Vignon P, Bouferrache K, et al. Impact of routine percutaneous coronary intervention after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Crit Care (London, England).* 2011;15:R122.

11. Spaulding CM, Joly LM, Rosenberg A, et al. Immediate coronary angiography in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:1629-1633.

12. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72:e91-e220.

13. Ngaage DL, Cale AR, Cowen ME, et al. Early and late survival after surgical revascularization for ischemic ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:1278-1281.

14. Zanuttini D, Armellini I, Nucifora G, et al. Impact of emergency coronary angiography on in-hospital outcome of unconscious survivors after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;110:1723-1728.

15. Kalarus Z, Svendsen JH, Capodanno D, et al. Cardiac arrhythmias in the emergency settings of acute coronary syndrome and revascularization: an European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) consensus document. *Eurpace.* 2019;21:1603-1604.

16. Milojevic M, Head SJ, Parasca CA, et al. Causes of death following PCI versus CABG in complex CAD: 5-year follow-up of SYNTAX. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67:42-55.

17. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Jones RH, et al. Coronary-artery bypass surgery in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1511-1520.

18. Carson P, Wertheimer J, Miller A, et al. The STICH trial (Surgical Treatment for Ischemic Heart Failure):

mode-of-death results. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2013;1:400-408.

19. Berntsen RF, Gunnes P, Lie M, et al. Surgical revascularization in the treatment of ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation exposed by exercise-induced ischaemia. *Eur Heart J.* 1993;14:1297-1303.

20. Andersen JA, Freeman P, Larsen JM, et al. Monomorphic ventricular tachycardia as the primary presentation of an anterior STEMI. *Clin Case Rep.* 2019;7:1680-1684.

21. Marrakchi S, Laroussi L, Bennour E, et al. Coronary PCI revascularization novel treatment of bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiol Cases.* 2019;20:151-154.

22. Mathes P. The effect of coronary revascularization on exercise-induced ventricular arrhythmias. *Eur Heart J.* 1987;8(Suppl D):79-81.

23. Raja V, Wieg P, Obel O, et al. Impact of chronic total occlusions and coronary revascularization on all-cause mortality and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2015;116:1358-1362.

9.6. Revascularization in Patients With SCAD

1. Jamil A, Tajrishi FZ, Kahe F, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection managed with a conservative or revascularization approach: a meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Med.* 2020;21:42-50.

2. Lettieri C, Zavalloni D, Rossini R, et al. Management and long-term prognosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection. *Am J Cardiol.* 2015;116:66-73.

3. Lobo AS, Cantu SM, Sharkey SW, et al. Revascularization in patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:1290-1300.

4. Martins JL, Afreixo V, Santos L, et al. Medical treatment or revascularisation as the best approach for spontaneous coronary artery dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2018;7:614-623.

5. Tweet MS, Eleid MF, Best PJ, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: revascularization versus conservative therapy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:777-786.

6. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137:e523-e557.

7. Saw J, Aymong E, Sedlak T, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: association with predisposing arteriopathies and precipitating stressors and cardiovascular outcomes. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:645-655.

9.7. Revascularization in Patients With Cardiac Allografts

1. Lee MS, Lluri G, Finch W, et al. Role of percutaneous coronary intervention in the treatment of cardiac allograft vasculopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2018;121:1051-1055.

2. Luc JGY, Choi JH, Rizvi SA, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass grafting in heart transplant recipients with coronary allograft vasculopathy: a systematic review and meta-

analysis of 1,520 patients. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;7:19-30.

3. Taylor DO, Stehlik J, Edwards LB, et al. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-sixth Official Adult Heart Transplant Report-2009. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2009;28:1007-1022.

4. Dasari TW, Henneby TA, Hanna EB, et al. Drug eluting versus bare metal stents in cardiac allograft vasculopathy: a systematic review of literature. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;77:962-969.

5. Gao SZ, Hunt SA, Schroeder JS, et al. Does rapidity of development of transplant coronary artery disease portend a worse prognosis? *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 1994;13:1119-1124.

6. Agarwal S, Parashar A, Kapadia SR, et al. Long-term mortality after cardiac allograft vasculopathy: implications of percutaneous intervention. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2014;2:281-288.

7. Johnson DE, Alderman EL, Schroeder JS, et al. Transplant coronary artery disease: histopathologic correlations with angiographic morphology. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1991;17:449-457.

8. Raichlin ER, McConnell JP, Lerman A, et al. Systemic inflammation and metabolic syndrome in cardiac allograft vasculopathy. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2007;26:826-833.

9. Colombo P, Bruschi G, Sacco A, et al. Percutaneous coronary interventions in cardiac allograft vasculopathy: a single-center experience. *Transplant Proc.* 2010;42:1286-1290.

10. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:961-972.

11. Kobashigawa J. What is the optimal prophylaxis for treatment of cardiac allograft vasculopathy? *Curr Control Trials Cardiovasc Med.* 2000;1:166-171.

12. Srivastava R, Keck BM, Bennett LE, et al. The results of cardiac retransplantation: an analysis of the Joint International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation/United Network for Organ Sharing Thoracic Registry. *Transplantation.* 2000;70:606-612.

13. Schmauss D, Weis M. Cardiac allograft vasculopathy: recent developments. *Circulation.* 2008;117:2131-2141.

14. Jonas M, Fang JC, Wang JC, et al. In-stent restenosis and remote coronary lesion progression are coupled in cardiac transplant vasculopathy but not in native coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48:453-461.

15. Tremmel JA, Ng MK, Ikeno F, et al. Comparison of drug-eluting versus bare metal stents in cardiac allograft vasculopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;108:665-668.

16. Lee MS, Kobashigawa J, Tobis J. Comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention with bare-metal and drug-eluting stents for cardiac allograft vasculopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2008;1:710-715.

9.8. Revascularization in Patients Before Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)

1. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with

valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2021;77:e25-e197.

9.9. Revascularization in Patients With Anomalous Coronary Artery

1. Angelini P. Coronary artery anomalies: an entity in search of an identity. *Circulation.* 2007;115:1296-1305.

2. Cheezum MK, Liberthson RR, Shah NR, et al. Anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery from the inappropriate sinus of valsalva. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017;69:1592-1608.

3. Stout KK, Daniels CJ, Aboulhosn JA, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of adults with congenital heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:e81-e192.

10.1. Radial and Femoral Approaches for PCI

1. Romagnoli E, Biondi-Zoccai G, Sciahbasi A, et al. Radial versus femoral randomized investigation in ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: the RIFLE-STEACS (Radial Versus Femoral Randomized Investigation in ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:2481-2489.

2. Valgimigli M, Gagnor A, Calabró P, et al. Radial versus femoral access in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing invasive management: a randomised multicentre trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:2465-2476.

3. Andò G, Capodanno D. Radial versus femoral access in invasively managed patients with acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;163:932-940.

4. Ferrante G, Rao SV, Jüni P, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary interventions across the entire spectrum of patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:1419-1434.

5. Feldman DN, Swaminathan RV, Kaltenbach LA, et al. Adoption of radial access and comparison of outcomes to femoral access in percutaneous coronary intervention: an updated report from the national cardiovascular data registry (2007-2012). *Circulation.* 2013;127:2295-2306.

6. Louvard Y, Benamer H, Garot P, et al. Comparison of transradial and transfemoral approaches for coronary angiography and angioplasty in octogenarians (the OCTOPLUS study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;94:1177-1180.

7. Santas E, Bodi V, Sanchis J, et al. The left radial approach in daily practice. A randomized study comparing femoral and right and left radial approaches. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2009;62:482-490.

8. Masoudi FA, Ponirakis A, de Lemos JA, et al. Trends in U.S. cardiovascular care: 2016 report from 4 ACC national cardiovascular data registries. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2017;69:1427-1450.

9. Jolly SS, Yusuf S, Cairns J, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography and intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes (RIVAL): a randomised, parallel group, multicentre trial. *Lancet.* 2011;377:1409-1420.

10. Le May M, Wells G, So D, et al. Safety and efficacy of femoral access vs radial access in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the SAFARI-STEMI randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5:126-134.

10.2. Choice of Stent Type

1. Piccolo R, Bona KH, Efthimiou O, et al. Drug-eluting or bare-metal stents for percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *Lancet.* 2019;393:2503-2510.

2. Palmerini T, Benedetto U, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Long-term safety of drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: evidence from a comprehensive network meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65:2496-2507.

3. Kang SH, Park KW, Kang DY, et al. Biodegradable-polymer drug-eluting stents vs. bare metal stents vs. durable-polymer drug-eluting stents: a systematic review and Bayesian approach network meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1147-1158.

4. Bangalore S, Toklu B, Amoroso N, et al. Bare metal stents, durable polymer drug eluting stents, and biodegradable polymer drug eluting stents for coronary artery disease: mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2013;347:f6625.

5. Bavry AA, Kumbhani DJ, Helton TJ, et al. Late thrombosis of drug-eluting stents: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Am J Med.* 2006;119:1056-1061.

6. Lagerqvist B, James SK, Stenestrand U, et al. Long-term outcomes with drug-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in Sweden. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;356:1009-1019.

7. Ong AT, McFadden EP, Regar E, et al. Late angiographic stent thrombosis (LAST) events with drug-eluting stents. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;45:2088-2092.

8. Nordmann AJ, Briel M, Bucher HC. Mortality in randomized controlled trials comparing drug-eluting vs. bare metal stents in coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2784-2814.

10.3. Use of Intravascular Imaging

1. Bavishi C, Sardar P, Chatterjee S, et al. Intravascular ultrasound-guided vs angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation in complex coronary lesions: Meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am Heart J.* 2017;185:26-34.

2. Buccheri S, Franchina G, Romano S, et al. Clinical outcomes following intravascular imaging-guided versus coronary angiography-guided percutaneous coronary intervention with stent implantation: a systematic review and Bayesian network meta-analysis of 31 studies and 17,882 patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:2488-2498.

3. Elgendy IY, Mahmoud AN, Elgendy AY, et al. Outcomes with intravascular ultrasound-guided stent implantation: a meta-analysis of randomized trials in the era of drug-eluting stents. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:e003700.

4. Hong S-J, Kim B-K, Shin D-H, et al. Effect of intravascular ultrasound-guided vs angiography-guided everolimus-eluting stent implantation: the IVUS-XPL randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2015;314:2155-2163.

5. Ladwiniec A, Walsh SJ, Holm NR, et al. Intravascular ultrasound to guide left main stem intervention: a

NOBLE trial substudy. *EuroIntervention.* 2020;16:201-209.

6. Zhang J, Gao X, Kan J, et al. Intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation: the ULTIMATE trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72:3126-3137.

7. Andell P, Karlsson S, Mohammad MA, et al. Intravascular ultrasound guidance is associated with better outcome in patients undergoing unprotected left main coronary artery stenting compared with angiography guidance alone. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:e004813.

8. Kim B-K, Shin D-H, Hong M-K, et al. Clinical impact of intravascular ultrasound-guided chronic total occlusion intervention with zotarolimus-eluting versus biolimus-eluting stent implantation: randomized study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002592.

9. Witzensbichler B, Maehara A, Weisz G, et al. Relationship between intravascular ultrasound guidance and clinical outcomes after drug-eluting stents: the assessment of dual antiplatelet therapy with drug-eluting stents (ADAPT-DES) study. *Circulation.* 2014;129:463-470.

10. Gao X-F, Ge Z, Kong X-Q, et al. 3-year outcomes of the ULTIMATE trial comparing intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2021;14:247-257.

11. Ali ZA, Maehara A, Généreux P, et al. Optical coherence tomography compared with intravascular ultrasound and with angiography to guide coronary stent implantation (ILUMIEN III: OPTIMIZE PCI): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2618-2628.

12. Meneveau N, Souteyrand G, Motreff P, et al. Optical coherence tomography to optimize results of percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: results of the multicenter, randomized DOCTORS study (Does Optical Coherence Tomography Optimize Results of Stenting). *Circulation.* 2016;134:906-917.

13. Antonsen L, Thayssen P, Maehara A, et al. Optical coherence tomography guided percutaneous coronary intervention with nobori stent implantation in patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (OCTACS) trial: difference in strut coverage and dynamic malapposition patterns at 6 months. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:e002446.

14. Dangas GD, Claessen BE, Caixeta A, et al. In-stent restenosis in the drug-eluting stent era. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:1897-1907.

15. Fujii K, Mintz GS, Kobayashi Y, et al. Contribution of stent underexpansion to recurrence after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation for in-stent restenosis. *Circulation.* 2004;109:1085-1088.

16. Choi S-Y, Witzensbichler B, Maehara A, et al. Intravascular ultrasound findings of early stent thrombosis after primary percutaneous intervention in acute myocardial infarction: a Harmonizing Outcomes with Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) substudy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4:239-247.

17. Souteyrand G, Amabile N, Mangin L, et al. Mechanisms of stent thrombosis analysed by optical coherence tomography: insights from the national PESTO French registry. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:1208-1216.

18. Steinberg DH, Mintz GS, Mandinov L, et al. Long-term impact of routinely detected early and late

incomplete stent apposition: an integrated intravascular ultrasound analysis of the TAXUS IV, V, and VI and TAXUS ATLAS workhorse, long lesion, and direct stent studies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:486-494.

19. Kobayashi N, Mintz GS, Witzensbichler B, et al. Prevalence, features, and prognostic importance of edge dissection after drug-eluting stent implantation: an ADAPT-DES intravascular ultrasound substudy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:e003553.

20. Maehara A, Matsumura M, Ali ZA, et al. IVUS-guided versus OCT-guided coronary stent implantation: a critical appraisal. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2017;10:1487-1503.

21. Zhang Y-J, Pang S, Chen X-Y, et al. Comparison of intravascular ultrasound guided versus angiography guided drug eluting stent implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2015;15:153.

22. Nerlekar N, Cheshire CJ, Verma KP, et al. Intravascular ultrasound guidance improves clinical outcomes during implantation of both first- and second-generation drug-eluting stents: a meta-analysis. *EuroIntervention.* 2017;12:1632-1642.

23. Ahn J-M, Kang S-J, Yoon S-H, et al. Meta-analysis of outcomes after intravascular ultrasound-guided versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation in 26,503 patients enrolled in three randomized trials and 14 observational studies. *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;113:1338-1347.

24. Kubo T, Shinke T, Okamura T, et al. Optical frequency domain imaging vs. intravascular ultrasound in percutaneous coronary intervention (OPINION trial): one-year angiographic and clinical results. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:3139-3147.

25. Prati F, Romagnoli E, Burzotta F, et al. Clinical impact of OCT findings during PCI: the CLI-OPCI II study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2015;8:1297-1305.

26. Soeda T, Uemura S, Park S-J, et al. Incidence and clinical significance of poststent optical coherence tomography findings: one-year follow-up study from a multicenter registry. *Circulation.* 2015;132:1020-1029.

27. Holmes DR Jr, Kereiakes DJ, Garg S, et al. Stent thrombosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:1357-1365.

28. Malle C, Tada T, Steigerwald K, et al. Tissue characterization after drug-eluting stent implantation using optical coherence tomography. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2013;33:1376-1383.

29. Hassan AKM, Bergheanu SC, Stijnen T, et al. Late stent malapposition risk is higher after drug-eluting stent compared with bare-metal stent implantation and associates with late stent thrombosis. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31:1172-1180.

30. Alfonso F, Sandoval J, Cárdenas A, et al. Optical coherence tomography: from research to clinical application. *Minerva Med.* 2012;103:441-464.

31. Moses JW, Dangas G, Mehran R, et al. Drug-eluting stents in the real world: how intravascular ultrasound can improve clinical outcome. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;102(9 suppl):24J-28J.

10.4. Thrombectomy

1. Fröbert O, Lagerqvist B, Olivecrona GK, et al. Thrombus aspiration during ST-segment elevation

myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:1587-1597.

2. Jolly SS, Cairns JA, Yusuf S, et al. Randomized trial of primary PCI with or without routine manual thrombectomy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:1389-1398.

3. Jolly SS, Cairns JA, Yusuf S, et al. Outcomes after thrombus aspiration for ST elevation myocardial infarction: 1-year follow-up of the prospective randomised TOTAL trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:127-135.

4. Lagerqvist B, Fröbert O, Olivecrona GK, et al. Outcomes 1 year after thrombus aspiration for myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:1111-1120.

5. Stone GW, Maehara A, Witzencbichler B, et al. Intracoronary abciximab and aspiration thrombectomy in patients with large anterior myocardial infarction: the INFUSE-AMI randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2012;307:1817-1826.

6. Ali A, Cox D, Dib N, et al. Rheolytic thrombectomy with percutaneous coronary intervention for infarct size reduction in acute myocardial infarction: 30-day results from a multicenter randomized study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:244-252.

7. Migliorini A, Stabile A, Rodriguez AE, et al. Comparison of AngioJet rheolytic thrombectomy before direct infarct artery stenting with direct stenting alone in patients with acute myocardial infarction. The JET-STENT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:1298-1306.

8. Sardella G, Mancone M, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention improves myocardial reperfusion and reduces infarct size: the EXPIRA (thrombectomy with export catheter in infarct-related artery during primary percutaneous coronary intervention) prospective, randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:309-315.

9. Svilaas T, Vlaar PJ, van der Horst IC, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:557-567.

10. Vlaar PJ, Svilaas T, van der Horst IC, et al. Cardiac death and reinfarction after 1 year in the Thrombus Aspiration during Percutaneous coronary intervention in Acute myocardial infarction Study (TAPAS): a 1-year follow-up study. *Lancet*. 2008;371:1915-1920.

11. Jolly SS, James S, Dzavik V, et al. Thrombus aspiration in ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: an individual patient meta-analysis: Thrombectomy Trialists Collaboration. *Circulation*. 2017;135:143-152.

10.5. Treatment of Calcified Lesions

1. Abdel-Wahab M, Richardt G, Joachim Büttner H, et al. High-speed rotational atherectomy before paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation in complex calcified coronary lesions: the randomized ROTAXUS (Rotational Atherectomy Prior to Taxus Stent Treatment for Complex Native Coronary Artery Disease) trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;6:10-19.

2. Abdel-Wahab M, Toelg R, Byrne RA, et al. High-speed rotational atherectomy versus modified balloons prior to drug-eluting stent implantation in severely calcified coronary lesions. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:e007415.

3. Bittl JA, Chew DP, Topol EJ, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized trials of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty versus atherectomy, cutting balloon atherotomy, or laser angioplasty. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:936-942.

4. Parikh K, Chandra P, Choksi N, et al. Safety and feasibility of orbital atherectomy for the treatment of calcified coronary lesions: the ORBIT I trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;81:1134-1139.

5. Chambers JW, Feldman RL, Himmelstein SI, et al. Pivotal trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the orbital atherectomy system in treating de novo, severely calcified coronary lesions (ORBIT II). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014;7:510-518.

6. Albiero R, Silber S, Di Mario C, et al. Cutting balloon versus conventional balloon angioplasty for the treatment of in-stent restenosis: results of the restenosis cutting balloon evaluation trial (RESCUT). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:943-949.

7. Kufner S, Joner M, Schneider S, et al. Neointimal modification with scoring balloon and efficacy of drug-eluting balloon therapy in patients with restenosis in drug-eluting coronary stents: a randomized controlled trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:1332-1340.

8. Kereiakes DJ, Di Mario C, Riley RF, et al. Intravascular lithotripsy for treatment of calcified coronary lesions: patient-level pooled analysis of the disrupt CAD studies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2021;14:1337-1348.

9. Mehanna E, Abbott JD, Bezerra HG. Optimizing percutaneous coronary intervention in calcified lesions: insights from optical coherence tomography of atherectomy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:e006813.

10. Bittl JA. Role of adjunctive devices—atherectomy, cutting balloon, and laser. In: Topol EJ, Teirstein PS, eds. *Textbook of Interventional Cardiology*. 8th ed. Elsevier Inc; 2019:577-589.

11. Bittl JA. Physical aspects of excimer laser angioplasty for undilatable lesions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;71:808-809.

12. Latib A, Takagi K, Chizzola G, et al. Excimer laser lesion modification to expand non-dilatable stents: the ELLEMENT registry. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*. 2014;15:8-12.

13. Lee T, Shlofmitz RA, Song L, et al. The effectiveness of excimer laser angioplasty to treat coronary in-stent restenosis with peri-stent calcium as assessed by optical coherence tomography. *EuroIntervention*. 2019;15:e279-e288.

14. Noble S, Bilodeau L. High energy excimer laser to treat coronary in-stent restenosis in an underexpanded stent. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;71:803-807.

15. Ali ZA, Nef H, Escaned J, et al. Safety and effectiveness of coronary intravascular lithotripsy for treatment of severely calcified coronary stenoses: the Disrupt CAD II study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12:e008434.

10.6. Treatment of Saphenous Vein Graft (SVG) Disease (Previous CABG)

1. Baim DS, Wahr D, George B, et al. Randomized trial of a distal embolic protection device during percutaneous intervention of saphenous vein aorto-coronary bypass grafts. *Circulation*. 2002;105:1285-1290.

2. Stone GW, Rogers C, Hermiller J, et al. Randomized comparison of distal protection with a filter-based catheter and a balloon occlusion and aspiration system during percutaneous intervention of diseased saphenous vein aorto-coronary bypass grafts. *Circulation*. 2003;108:548-553.

3. Valle JA, Glorioso TJ, Schuetz KB, et al. Contemporary use of embolic protection devices during saphenous vein graft intervention. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12:e007636.

4. Brilakis ES, Rao SV, Banerjee S, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in native arteries versus bypass grafts in prior coronary artery bypass grafting patients: a report from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:844-850.

5. Brilakis ES, O'Donnell CI, Penny W, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in native coronary arteries versus bypass grafts in patients with prior coronary artery bypass graft surgery: insights from the Veterans Affairs Clinical Assessment, Reporting, and Tracking Program. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:884-893.

6. Hoffmann R, Hamm C, Nienaber CA, et al. Implantation of sirolimus-eluting stents in saphenous vein grafts is associated with high clinical follow-up event rates compared with treatment of native vessels. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2007;18:559-564.

7. Al-Lamee R, Ielasi A, Latib A, et al. Clinical and angiographic outcomes after percutaneous recanalization of chronic total saphenous vein graft occlusion using modern techniques. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:1721-1727.

8. de Feyter PJ, Serruys P, van den Brand M, et al. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty of a totally occluded venous bypass graft: a challenge that should be resisted. *Am J Cardiol*. 1989;64:88-90.

9. de Vries MR, Simons KH, Jukema JW, et al. Vein graft failure: from pathophysiology to clinical outcomes. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2016;13:451-470.

10. Redfors B, Généreux P, Witzencbichler B, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention of saphenous vein graft. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:e004953.

11. Brennan JM, Al-Hejily W, Dai D, et al. Three-year outcomes associated with embolic protection in saphenous vein graft intervention: results in 49 325 senior patients in the Medicare-linked National Cardiovascular Data Registry CathPCI Registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:e001403.

12. Shoaib A, Kinnaird T, Curzen N, et al. Outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention in saphenous vein grafts with and without embolic protection devices. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12:2286-2295.

13. Paul TK, Bhatheja S, Panchal HB, et al. Outcomes of saphenous vein graft intervention with and without embolic protection device: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:e005538.

10.7. Treatment of CTO

1. Lee SW, Lee PH, Ahn JM, et al. Randomized trial evaluating percutaneous coronary intervention for the treatment of chronic total occlusion. *Circulation*. 2019;139:1674-1683.

2. Werner GS, Martin-Yuste V, Hildick-Smith D, et al. A randomized multicentre trial to compare revascularization with optimal medical therapy for the treatment of chronic total coronary occlusions. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:2484-2493.

3. Obedinskiy AA, Kretov EI, Boukhris M, et al. The IMPACTOR-CTO trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:1309-1311.

4. Henriques JP, Hoebbers LP, Råmunddal T, et al. Percutaneous intervention for concurrent chronic total occlusions in patients with STEMI: the EXPLORE trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:1622-1632.

5. Fefer P, Knudtson ML, Cheema AN, et al. Current perspectives on coronary chronic total occlusions: the Canadian Multicenter Chronic Total Occlusions Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59:991-997.

6. Tsai TT, Stanislawski MA, Shunk KA, et al. Contemporary incidence, management, and long-term outcomes of percutaneous coronary interventions for chronic coronary artery total occlusions: insights from the VA CART Program. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:866-875.

7. Tajti P, Burke MN, Karpaliotis D, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of percutaneous coronary interventions for ostial chronic total occlusions: insights from a multicenter chronic total occlusion registry. *Can J Cardiol*. 2018;34:1264-1274.

8. Sapontis J, Salisbury AC, Yeh RW, et al. Early procedural and health status outcomes after chronic total occlusion angioplasty: a report from the OPEN-CTO Registry (Outcomes, Patient Health Status, and Efficiency in Chronic Total Occlusion Hybrid Procedures). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:1523-1534.

9. Stone GW, Reifart NJ, Moussa I, et al. Percutaneous recanalization of chronically occluded coronary arteries: a consensus document: part II. *Circulation*. 2005;112:2530-2537.

10. Mashayekhi K, Nührenberg TG, Toma A, et al. A randomized trial to assess regional left ventricular function after stent implantation in chronic total occlusion: the REVASC trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:1982-1991.

11. Abo-Aly M, Misumida N, Backer N, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stent versus optimal medical therapy for chronic total occlusion: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Angiology*. 2019;70:908-915.

12. Li KHC, Wong KHG, Gong M, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus medical therapy for chronic total occlusion of coronary arteries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2019;21:42.

13. Christiansen E. ISCHEMIA-CTO Trial - Revascularisation or Optimal Medical Therapy of CTO (ISCHEMIA-CTO). 2018. Accessed August 15, 2019. Available at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03563417?term=03563417&rank=1>

14. Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation. The SHINE-CTO Trial (SHINE-CTO). 2016. Accessed August 15, 2019. Available at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=&term=02784418&cntry=&state=&city=&dist=>

10.8. Treatment of Patients With Stent Restenosis

1. Siontis GC, Stefanini GG, Mavridis D, et al. Percutaneous coronary interventional strategies for treatment of in-stent restenosis: a network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2015;386:655-664.

2. Giacompo D, Gargiulo G, Aruta P, et al. Treatment strategies for coronary in-stent restenosis: systematic review and hierarchical Bayesian network meta-analysis of 24 randomised trials and 4880 patients. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h5392.

3. Mehilli J, Byrne RA, Tiroch K, et al. Randomized trial of paclitaxel- versus sirolimus-eluting stents for treatment of coronary restenosis in sirolimus-eluting stents: the ISAR-DESIRE 2 (Intracoronary Stenting and Angiographic Results: Drug Eluting Stents for In-Stent Restenosis 2) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:2710-2716.

4. Kastrati A, Mehilli J, von Beckerath N, et al. Sirolimus-eluting stent or paclitaxel-eluting stent vs balloon angioplasty for prevention of recurrences in patients with coronary in-stent restenosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2005;293:165-171.

5. Negi SI, Torguson R, Gai J, et al. Intracoronary brachytherapy for recurrent drug-eluting stent failure. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:1259-1265.

6. Tada T, Byrne RA, Simunovic I, et al. Risk of stent thrombosis among bare-metal stents, first-generation drug-eluting stents, and second-generation drug-eluting stents: results from a registry of 18,334 patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;6:1267-1274.

7. Alfonso F, Fernandez C. Second-generation drug-eluting stents. Moving the field forward. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:26-29.

8. Gada H, Kirtane AJ, Newman W, et al. 5-year results of a randomized comparison of XIENCE V everolimus-eluting and TAXUS paclitaxel-eluting stents: final results from the SPIRIT III trial (clinical evaluation of the XIENCE V everolimus eluting coronary stent system in the treatment of patients with de novo native coronary artery lesions). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;6:1263-1266.

9. Dangas GD, Claessen BE, Caixeta A, et al. In-stent restenosis in the drug-eluting stent era. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:1897-1907.

10. Alfonso F, Byrne RA, Rivero F, et al. Current treatment of in-stent restenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:2659-2673.

11. Mehran R, Dangas G, Abizaid AS, et al. Angiographic patterns of in-stent restenosis: classification and implications for long-term outcome. *Circulation*. 1999;100:1872-1878.

12. Goto K, Zhao Z, Matsumura M, et al. Mechanisms and patterns of intravascular ultrasound in-stent restenosis among bare metal stents and first- and second-generation drug-eluting stents. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;116:1351-1357.

13. Kang SJ, Mintz GS, Park DW, et al. Mechanisms of in-stent restenosis after drug-eluting stent implantation: intravascular ultrasound analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:9-14.

14. Farb A, Sangiorgi G, Carter AJ, et al. Pathology of acute and chronic coronary stenting in humans. *Circulation*. 1999;99:44-52.

15. Singh M, Gersh BJ, McClelland RL, et al. Clinical and angiographic predictors of restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the Prevention of Restenosis With Tranilast and Its Outcomes (PRESTO) trial. *Circulation*. 2004;109:2727-2731.

16. Stolker JM, Kennedy KF, Lindsey JB, et al. Predicting restenosis of drug-eluting stents placed in real-world clinical practice: derivation and validation of a risk model from the EVENT registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;3:327-334.

17. Dibra A, Kastrati A, Alfonso F, et al. Effectiveness of drug-eluting stents in patients with bare-metal in-

stent restenosis: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:616-623.

10.9. Hemodynamic Support for Complex PCI

1. Perera D, Stables R, Thomas M, et al. Elective intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation during high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2010;304:867-874.

2. O'Neill WW, Kleiman NS, Moses J, et al. A prospective, randomized clinical trial of hemodynamic support with Impella 2.5 versus intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: the PROTECT II study. *Circulation*. 2012;126:1717-1727.

3. Amin AP, Spertus JA, Curtis JP, et al. The evolving landscape of Impella use in the United States among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with mechanical circulatory support. *Circulation*. 2020;141:273-284.

4. Dhruva SS, Ross JS, Mortazavi BJ, et al. Association of use of an intravascular microaxial left ventricular assist device vs intra-aortic balloon pump with in-hospital mortality and major bleeding among patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. *JAMA*. 2020;323:734-745.

5. Baumann S, Werner N, Ibrahim K, et al. Indication and short-term clinical outcomes of high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention with microaxial Impella® pump: results from the German Impella® registry. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2018;107:653-657.

6. Curtis JP, Rathore SS, Wang Y, et al. Use and effectiveness of intra-aortic balloon pumps among patients undergoing high risk percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2012;5:21-30.

7. Maini B, Naidu SS, Mulukutla S, et al. Real-world use of the Impella 2.5 circulatory support system in complex high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention: the USpella Registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2012;80:717-725.

8. Rihal CS, Naidu SS, Givertz MM, et al. 2015 SCAI/ACC/HFSA/STS clinical expert consensus statement on the use of percutaneous mechanical circulatory support devices in cardiovascular care. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:e7-e26.

9. Vetrovec GW, Anderson M, Schreiber T, et al. The cVAD registry for percutaneous temporary hemodynamic support: a prospective registry of Impella mechanical circulatory support use in high-risk PCI, cardiogenic shock, and decompensated heart failure. *Am Heart J*. 2018;199:115-121.

11.1. Aspirin and Oral P2Y12 Inhibitors in Patients Undergoing PCI

1. Barnathan ES, Schwartz JS, Taylor L, et al. Aspirin and dipyridamole in the prevention of acute coronary thrombosis complicating coronary angioplasty. *Circulation*. 1987;76:125-134.

2. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. *BMJ*. 2002;324:71-86.

3. Collaborative overview of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy-I: prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet

therapy in various categories of patients. *Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. BMJ.* 1994;308:81-106.

4. Baigent C, Blackwell L, Collins R, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration. Lancet.* 2009;373:1849-1860.

5. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, et al. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with fibrinolytics: the PCI-CLARITY study. *JAMA.* 2005;294:1224-1232.

6. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;357:2001-2015.

7. Montalescot G, van't Hof AW, Lapostolle F, et al. Prehospital ticagrelor in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371:1016-1027.

8. Taniuchi M, Kurz HI, Lasala JM. Randomized comparison of ticlopidine and clopidogrel after intracoronary stent implantation in a broad patient population. *Circulation.* 2001;104:539-543.

9. Bellemain-Appaix A, O'Connor SA, Silvain J, et al. Association of clopidogrel pretreatment with mortality, cardiovascular events, and major bleeding among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2012;308:2507-2516.

10. Schömig A, Neumann FJ, Kastrati A, et al. A randomized comparison of antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy after the placement of coronary-artery stents. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334:1084-1089.

11. Leon MB, Baim DS, Popma JJ, et al. A clinical trial comparing three antithrombotic-drug regimens after coronary-artery stenting. *Stent Anticoagulation Restenosis Study Investigators. N Engl J Med.* 1998;339:1665-1671.

12. Moussa I, Oetgen M, Roubin G, et al. Effectiveness of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin in preventing stent thrombosis after coronary stent implantation. *Circulation.* 1999;99:2364-2366.

13. Calver AL, Blows LJ, Harmer S, et al. Clopidogrel for prevention of major cardiac events after coronary stent implantation: 30-day and 6-month results in patients with smaller stents. *Am Heart J.* 2000;140:483-491.

14. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:1045-1057.

15. Müller C, Büttner HJ, Petersen J, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after the placement of coronary-artery stents. *Circulation.* 2000;101:590-593.

16. Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, et al. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). *Circulation.* 2000;102:624-629.

17. Di Sciacio G, Patti G, Pasceri V, et al. Effectiveness of in-laboratory high-dose clopidogrel loading versus routine pre-load in patients undergoing percutaneous

coronary intervention: results of the ARMYDA-5 PRELOAD (Antiplatelet therapy for Reduction of MYocardial Damage during Angioplasty) randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:550-557.

18. Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT 3rd, et al. Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2002;288:2411-2420.

19. von Beckerath N, Taubert D, Pogatsa-Murray G, et al. Absorption, metabolism, and antiplatelet effects of 300-, 600-, and 900-mg loading doses of clopidogrel: results of the ISAR-CHOICE (Intracoronary Stenting and Antithrombotic Regimen: Choose Between 3 High Oral Doses for Immediate Clopidogrel Effect) trial. *Circulation.* 2005;112:2946-2950.

20. Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, et al. Prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2009;373:723-731.

21. Berwanger O, Nicolau JC, Carvalho AC, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel after fibrinolytic therapy in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2018;3:391-399.

22. Widimsky P, Motovská Z, Simek S, et al. Clopidogrel pre-treatment in stable angina: for all patients >6 h before elective coronary angiography or only for angiographically selected patients a few minutes before PCI? A randomized multicentre trial PRAGUE-8. *Eur Heart J.* 2008;29:1495-1503.

23. Schwartz L, Bourassa MG, Lespérance J, et al. Aspirin and dipyridamole in the prevention of restenosis after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *N Engl J Med.* 1988;318:1714-1719.

24. Jolly SS, Pogue J, Haladyn K, et al. Effects of aspirin dose on ischaemic events and bleeding after percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the PCI-CURE study. *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:900-907.

25. Serebruany VL, Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, et al. Analysis of risk of bleeding complications after different doses of aspirin in 192,036 patients enrolled in 31 randomized controlled trials. *Am J Cardiol.* 2005;95:1218-1222.

26. Mahaffey KW, Wojdyla DM, Carroll K, et al. Ticagrelor compared with clopidogrel by geographic region in the Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. *Circulation.* 2011;124:544-554.

27. Nordt SP, Clark RF, Castillo EM, et al. Comparison of three aspirin formulations in human volunteers. *West J Emerg Med.* 2011;12:381-385.

28. Dörler J, Edlinger M, Alber HF, et al. Clopidogrel pre-treatment is associated with reduced in-hospital mortality in primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32:2954-2961.

29. Zeymer U, Arntz H-R, Mark B, et al. Efficacy and safety of a high loading dose of clopidogrel administered prehospitally to improve primary percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction: the randomized CIPAMI trial. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2012;101:305-312.

30. Montalescot G, Bolognese L, Dudek D, et al. Pre-treatment with prasugrel in non-ST-segment elevation

acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369:999-1010.

31. Tarantini G, Mojoli M, Varbella F, et al. Timing of Oral P2Y12 Inhibitor administration in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;76:2450-2459.

32. Gimbel M, Qaderdan K, Willemsen L, et al. Clopidogrel versus ticagrelor or prasugrel in patients aged 70 years or older with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome (POPular AGE): the randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395:1374-1381.

33. Dehghani P, Lavoie A, Lavi S, et al. Effects of ticagrelor versus clopidogrel on platelet function in fibrinolytic-treated STEMI patients undergoing early PCI. *Am Heart J.* 2017;192:105-112.

34. Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. *Lancet.* 2001;358:527-533.

35. Menichelli M, Neumann F-J, Ndrepepa G, et al. Age- and weight-adapted dose of prasugrel versus standard dose of ticagrelor in patients with acute coronary syndromes: results from a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173:436-444.

36. Stone GW, Grines CL, Cox DA, et al. Comparison of angioplasty with stenting, with or without abciximab, in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:957-966.

37. Giugliano RP, White JA, Bode C, et al. Early versus delayed, provisional eptifibatid in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:2176-2190.

38. Valgimigli M, Campo G, Percoco G, et al. Comparison of angioplasty with infusion of tirofiban or abciximab and with implantation of sirolimus-eluting or uncoated stents for acute myocardial infarction: the MULTISTRATEGY randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2008;299:1788-1799.

39. Bhatt DL, Stone GW, Mahaffey KW, et al. Effect of platelet inhibition with cangrelor during PCI on ischemic events. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1303-1313.

11.2. Intravenous P2Y12 Inhibitors in Patients Undergoing PCI

1. Bhatt DL, Lincoff AM, Gibson CM, et al. Intravenous platelet blockade with cangrelor during PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:2330-2341.

2. Bhatt DL, Stone GW, Mahaffey KW, et al. Effect of platelet inhibition with cangrelor during PCI on ischemic events. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1303-1313.

3. Steg PG, Bhatt DL, Hamm CW, et al. Effect of cangrelor on periprocedural outcomes in percutaneous coronary interventions: a pooled analysis of patient-level data. *Lancet.* 2013;382:1981-1992.

4. Harrington RA, Stone GW, McNulty S, et al. Platelet inhibition with cangrelor in patients undergoing PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:2318-2329.

11.3. Intravenous Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibitors in Patients Undergoing PCI

1. Stone GW, Maehara A, Witzenbichler B, et al. Intracoronary abciximab and aspiration thrombectomy in patients with large anterior myocardial infarction:

- the INFUSE-AMI randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2012;307:1817-1826.
2. Montalescot G, Barragan P, Wittenberg O, et al. Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibition with coronary stenting for acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;344:1895-1903.
 3. Kastrati A, Mehilli J, Schühlen H, et al. A clinical trial of abciximab in elective percutaneous coronary intervention after pretreatment with clopidogrel. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:232-238.
 4. O'Shea JC, Hafley GE, Greenberg S, et al. Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa integrin blockade with eptifibatid in coronary stent intervention: the ESPRIT trial: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001;285:2468-2473.
 5. Espirit Investigators. Enhanced Suppression of the Platelet IIb/IIIa Receptor with Integrilin Therapy. Novel dosing regimen of eptifibatid in planned coronary stent implantation (ESPRIT): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2000;356:2037-2044.
 6. Platelet Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in Unstable Angina: Receptor Suppression Using Integrilin Therapy (PUR-SUIT) Trial Investigators. Inhibition of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa with eptifibatid in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339:436-443.
 7. Kastrati A, Neumann FJ, Schulz S, et al. Abciximab and heparin versus bivalirudin for non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:1980-1989.
 8. Stone GW, Grines CL, Cox DA, et al. Comparison of angioplasty with stenting, with or without abciximab, in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:957-966.
 9. Moser M, Bertram U, Peter K, et al. Abciximab, eptifibatid, and tirofiban exhibit dose-dependent potencies to dissolve platelet aggregates. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2003;41:586-592.
- 11.4. Heparin, Low-Molecular-Weight Heparin, and Bivalirudin in Patients Undergoing PCI**
1. Lewis BE, Matthai WH Jr, Cohen M, et al. Argatroban anticoagulation during percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2002;57:177-184.
 2. Mahaffey KW, Lewis BE, Wildermann NM, et al. The anticoagulant therapy with bivalirudin to assist in the performance of percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (ATBAT) study: main results. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2003;15:611-616.
 3. Kastrati A, Neumann FJ, Mehilli J, et al. Bivalirudin versus unfractionated heparin during percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359:688-696.
 4. Lincoff AM, Bittl JA, Harrington RA, et al. Bivalirudin and provisional glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade compared with heparin and planned glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade during percutaneous coronary intervention: REPLACE-2 randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:853-863.
 5. Stone GW, White HD, Ohman EM, et al. Bivalirudin in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a subgroup analysis from the Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategy (ACUITY) trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369:907-919.
 6. Kastrati A, Neumann FJ, Schulz S, et al. Abciximab and heparin versus bivalirudin for non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:1980-1989.
 7. Valgimigli M, Gagnor A, Calabró P, et al. Radial versus femoral access in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing invasive management: a randomised multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:2465-2476.
 8. Steg PG, van't Hof A, Hamm CW, et al. Bivalirudin started during emergency transport for primary PCI. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:2207-2217.
 9. Stone GW, Witzencbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al. Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:2218-2230.
 10. Capodanno D, Gargiulo G, Capranzano P, et al. Bivalirudin versus heparin with or without glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI: an updated meta-analysis of 10,350 patients from five randomized clinical trials. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2016;5:253-262.
 11. Cavender MA, Sabatine MS. Bivalirudin versus heparin in patients planned for percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2014;384:599-606.
 12. Shah R, Latham SB, Porta JM, et al. Bivalirudin with a post-procedure infusion versus heparin monotherapy for the prevention of stent thrombosis. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;94:210-215.
 13. Blazing MA, De Lemos JA, Dyke CK, et al. The A-to-Z Trial: methods and rationale for a single trial investigating combined use of low-molecular-weight heparin with the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor tirofiban and defining the efficacy of early aggressive simvastatin therapy. *Am Heart J*. 2001;142:211-217.
 14. Ferguson JJ, Califf RM, Antman EM, et al. Enoxaparin vs unfractionated heparin in high-risk patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes managed with an intended early invasive strategy: primary results of the SYNERGY randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292:45-54.
 15. Montalescot G, Zeymer U, Silvain J, et al. Intravenous enoxaparin or unfractionated heparin in primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction: the international randomised open-label ATOLL trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378:693-703.
 16. Silvain J, Beygui F, Barthelemy O, et al. Efficacy and safety of enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin during percutaneous coronary intervention: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e553.
 17. Montalescot G, White HD, Gallo R, et al. Enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin in elective percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:1006-1017.
 18. Drouet L, Bal dit Sollier C, Martin J. Adding intravenous unfractionated heparin to standard enoxaparin causes excessive anticoagulation not detected by activated clotting time: results of the STACK-on to ENOXaparin (STACKENOX) study. *Am Heart J*. 2009;158:177-184.
 19. Cohen M, Mahaffey KW, Pieper K, et al. A subgroup analysis of the impact of prerandomization anti-thrombin therapy on outcomes in the SYNERGY trial: enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1346-1354.
 20. Yusuf S, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, et al. Comparison of fondaparinux and enoxaparin in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:1464-1476.
 21. Yusuf S, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, et al. Effects of fondaparinux on mortality and reinfarction in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the OASIS-6 randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2006;295:1519-1530.
 22. Rao SC, Chhatriwalla AK, Kennedy KF, et al. Pre-procedural estimate of individualized bleeding risk impacts physicians' utilization of bivalirudin during percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:1847-1852.
 23. Bittl JA, Strony J, Brinker JA, et al. Treatment with bivalirudin (Hirulog) as compared with heparin during coronary angioplasty for unstable or postinfarction angina. Hirulog Angioplasty Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333:764-769.
 24. Ferguson JJ, Dougherty KG, Gaos CM, et al. Relation between procedural activated coagulation time and outcome after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1994;23:1061-1065.
 25. McGarry TF Jr, Gottlieb RS, Morganroth J, et al. The relationship of anticoagulation level and complications after successful percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *Am Heart J*. 1992;123:1445-1451.
 26. Narins CR, Hillegass WB Jr, Nelson CL, et al. Relation between activated clotting time during angioplasty and abrupt closure. *Circulation*. 1996;93:667-671.
 27. Brener SJ, Moliterno DJ, Lincoff AM, et al. Relationship between activated clotting time and ischemic or hemorrhagic complications: analysis of 4 recent randomized clinical trials of percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circulation*. 2004;110:994-998.
 28. Mottillo S, Filion KB, Joseph L, et al. Defining optimal activated clotting time for percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-regression. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;89:351-366.
 29. Schulz S, Angiolillo DJ, Antoniucci D, et al. Randomized comparison of ticagrelor versus prasugrel in patients with acute coronary syndrome and planned invasive strategy-design and rationale of the iNtra-coronary Stenting and Antithrombotic Regimen: Rapid Early Action for Coronary Treatment (ISAR-REACT) 5 trial. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res*. 2014;7:91-100.
 30. Tolleson TR, O'Shea JC, Bittl JA, et al. Relationship between heparin anticoagulation and clinical outcomes in coronary stent intervention: observations from the ESPRIT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:386-393.
 31. Montalescot G, Cohen M, Salette G, et al. Impact of anticoagulation levels on outcomes in patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the STEEPLE trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:462-471.
 32. Fabris E, Kilic S, Van't Hof AWJ, et al. One-year mortality for bivalirudin vs heparin plus optional glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor treatment started in the ambulance for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a secondary analysis of the EUROMAX randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2017;2:791-796.

33. Han Y, Guo J, Zheng Y, et al. Bivalirudin vs heparin with or without tirofiban during primary percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction: the BRIGHT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015;313:1336-1346.
34. Mehran R, Lansky AJ, Witzenbichler B, et al. Bivalirudin in patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): 1-year results of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374:1149-1159.
35. Schulz S, Richardt G, Laugwitz KL, et al. Comparison of prasugrel and bivalirudin vs clopidogrel and heparin in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: Design and rationale of the Bavarian Reperfusion Alternatives Evaluation (BRAVE) 4 trial. *Clin Cardiol*. 2014;37:270-276.
36. Shahzad A, Kemp I, Mars C, et al. Unfractionated heparin versus bivalirudin in primary percutaneous coronary intervention (HEAT-PPCI): an open-label, single centre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2014;384:1849-1858.
37. Stone GW, Clayton T, Deliargyris EN, et al. Reduction in cardiac mortality with bivalirudin in patients with and without major bleeding: the HORIZONS-AMI trial (Harmonizing Outcomes with Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:15-20.
38. Erlinge D, Omerovic E, Frobert O, et al. Bivalirudin versus heparin monotherapy in myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:1132-1142.
39. Choussat R, Montalescot G, Collet JP, et al. A unique, low dose of intravenous enoxaparin in elective percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;40:1943-1950.
40. Chew DP, Bhatt DL, Lincoff AM, et al. Defining the optimal activated clotting time during percutaneous coronary intervention: aggregate results from 6 randomized, controlled trials. *Circulation*. 2001;103:961-966.
41. Boccard A, Benamer H, Juliard JM, et al. A randomized trial of a fixed high dose vs a weight-adjusted low dose of intravenous heparin during coronary angioplasty. *Eur Heart J*. 1997;18:631-635.
42. Schulz S, Mehilli J, Neumann FJ, et al. ISAR-REACT 3A: a study of reduced dose of unfractionated heparin in biomarker negative patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:2482-2491.
43. Gibson CM, Murphy SA, Montalescot G, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in patients receiving enoxaparin or unfractionated heparin after fibrinolytic therapy for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in the EXTRACT-TIMI 25 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:2238-2246.
44. Levine GN, Ferrando T. Degree of anticoagulation after one subcutaneous and one subsequent intravenous booster dose of enoxaparin: implications for patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2004;17:167-171.
45. Martin JL, Fry ET, Sanderink GJ, et al. Reliable anticoagulation with enoxaparin in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the pharmacokinetics of enoxaparin in PCI (PEPCI) study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2004;61:163-170.
- 12.1. Perioperative Considerations in Patients Undergoing CABG**
1. Grant MC, Isada T, Ruzankin P, et al. Results from an enhanced recovery program for cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;159:1393-1402.e7.
2. Williams JB, McConnell G, Allender JE, et al. One-year results from the first US-based enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery (ERAS Cardiac) program. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019;157:1881-1888.
3. Li M, Zhang J, Gan TJ, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery pathway for patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2018;54:491-497.
4. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e123-e210.
5. Silbert BS, Scott DA, Evered LA, et al. A comparison of the effect of high- and low-dose fentanyl on the incidence of postoperative cognitive dysfunction after coronary artery bypass surgery in the elderly. *Anesthesiology*. 2006;104:1137-1145.
6. Ender J, Borger MA, Scholz M, et al. Cardiac surgery fast-track treatment in a postanesthetic care unit: six-month results of the Leipzig fast-track concept. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;109:61-66.
7. Myles PS, Daly DJ, Djaiani G, et al. A systematic review of the safety and effectiveness of fast-track cardiac anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 2003;99:982-987.
8. Wong W-T, Lai VK, Chee YE, et al. Fast-track cardiac care for adult cardiac surgical patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;9:CD003587.
9. Borde DP, Futane SS, Asegaonkar B, et al. Effect of perioperative pregabalin on postoperative quality of recovery in patients undergoing Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (OPCABG): a prospective, randomized, double-blind trial. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2017;31:1241-1245.
10. Jelacic S, Bollag L, Bowdle A, et al. Intravenous acetaminophen as an adjunct analgesic in cardiac surgery reduces opioid consumption but not opioid-related adverse effects: a randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2016;30:997-1004.
11. Joshi SS, Jagadeesh AM. Efficacy of perioperative pregabalin in acute and chronic post-operative pain after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized, double-blind placebo controlled trial. *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2013;16:180-185.
12. Khalil MA, Abdel Azeem MS. The impact of dexmedetomidine infusion in sparing morphine consumption in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2013;17:66-71.
13. Lahtinen P, Kokki H, Hakala T, et al. S(+)-ketamine as an analgesic adjunct reduces opioid consumption after cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2004;99:1295-1301.
14. Menda F, Köner O, Sayin M, et al. Effects of single-dose gabapentin on postoperative pain and morphine consumption after cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2010;24:808-813.
15. Subramaniam B, Shankar P, Shaefi S, et al. Effect of intravenous acetaminophen vs placebo combined with propofol or dexmedetomidine on postoperative delirium among older patients following cardiac surgery: the DEXACET randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321:686-696.
16. Grant MC, Isada T, Ruzankin P, et al. Opioid-sparing cardiac anesthesia: secondary analysis of an enhanced recovery program for cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2020;131:1852-1861.
17. Landoni G, Biondi-Zoccai GGL, Zangrillo A, et al. Desflurane and sevoflurane in cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2007;21:502-511.
18. Landoni G, Greco T, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Anaesthetic drugs and survival: a Bayesian network meta-analysis of randomized trials in cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;111:886-896.
19. Landoni G, Guarracino F, Ciriello C, et al. Volatile compared with total intravenous anaesthesia in patients undergoing high-risk cardiac surgery: a randomized multicentre study. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113:955-963.
20. Landoni G, Lomivorotov VV, Nigro Neto C, et al. Volatile anaesthetics versus total intravenous anaesthesia for cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:1214-1225.
21. Symons JA, Myles PS. Myocardial protection with volatile anaesthetic agents during coronary artery bypass surgery: a meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2006;97:127-136.
22. Zamani MM, Najafi A, Sehat S, et al. The effect of intraoperative lung protective ventilation vs conventional ventilation, on postoperative pulmonary complications after cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Cardiovasc Thorac Res*. 2017;9:221-228.
23. Zochios V, Klein AA, Gao F. Protective invasive ventilation in cardiac surgery: a systematic review with a focus on acute lung injury in adult cardiac surgical patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2018;32:1922-1936.
24. Sundar S, Novack V, Jervis K, et al. Influence of low tidal volume ventilation on time to extubation in cardiac surgical patients. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;114:1102-1110.
25. Yang D, Grant MC, Stone A, et al. A meta-analysis of intraoperative ventilation strategies to prevent pulmonary complications: is low tidal volume alone sufficient to protect healthy lungs? *Ann Surg*. 2016;263:881-887.
26. Aya HD, Cecconi M, Hamilton M, et al. Goal-directed therapy in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;110:510-517.
27. Li P, Qu L-P, Qi D, et al. Significance of perioperative goal-directed hemodynamic approach in preventing postoperative complications in patients after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Ann Med*. 2017;49:343-351.
28. Kihara C, Murata K, Wada Y, et al. Impact of intraoperative transeophageal echocardiography in cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery: experience in 1011 cases. *J Cardiol*. 2009;54:282-288.

29. Savage RM, Lytle BW, Aronson S, et al. Intraoperative echocardiography is indicated in high-risk coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997;64:368-373. discussion 73-4.
30. Eltzschig HK, Rosenberger P, Löffler M, et al. Impact of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography on surgical decisions in 12,566 patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:845-852.
31. Qaddoura FE, Abel MD, Mecklenburg KL, et al. Role of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography in patients having coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;78:1586-1590.
32. Swaminathan M, Morris RW, De Meyts DD, et al. Deterioration of regional wall motion immediately after coronary artery bypass graft surgery is associated with long-term major adverse cardiac events. *Anesthesiology.* 2007;107:739-745.
33. Swaminathan M, Nicoara A, Phillips-Bute BG, et al. Utility of a simple algorithm to grade diastolic dysfunction and predict outcome after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011;91:1844-1850.
34. Suehiro K, Tanaka K, Yamada T, et al. The utility of intra-operative three-dimensional transoesophageal echocardiography for dynamic measurement of stroke volume. *Anaesthesia.* 2015;70:150-159.
35. Aggarwal N, Unnikrishnan KP, Biswas I, et al. Intraoperative assessment of transient and persistent regional left ventricular wall motion abnormalities in patients undergoing coronary revascularization surgery using real time three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography: a prospective observational study. *Echocardiography.* 2017;34:1649-1659.
36. Chiang Y, Hosseinian L, Rhee A, et al. Questionable benefit of the pulmonary artery catheter after cardiac surgery in high-risk patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2015;29:76-81.
37. Schwann TA, Zacharias A, Riordan CJ, et al. Safe, highly selective use of pulmonary artery catheters in coronary artery bypass grafting: an objective patient selection method. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002;73:1394-1401. discussion 401-2.
38. Schwann NM, Hillel Z, Hoefl A, et al. Lack of effectiveness of the pulmonary artery catheter in cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2011;113:994-1002.
39. Ramsey SD, Saint S, Sullivan SD, et al. Clinical and economic effects of pulmonary artery catheterization in nonemergent coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2000;14:113-118.
40. Resano FG, Kapetanakis EI, Hill PC, et al. Clinical outcomes of low-risk patients undergoing beating-heart surgery with or without pulmonary artery catheterization. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2006;20:300-306.
41. Zorrilla-Vaca A, Healy R, Grant MC, et al. Intraoperative cerebral oximetry-based management for optimizing perioperative outcomes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Anaesth.* 2018;65:529-542.
42. Colak Z, Borojevic M, Bogovic A, et al. Influence of intraoperative cerebral oximetry monitoring on neurocognitive function after coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized, prospective study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2015;47:447-454.
43. Vretzakis G, Georgopoulou S, Stamoulis K, et al. Monitoring of brain oxygen saturation (INVOS) in a protocol to direct blood transfusions during cardiac surgery: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013;8:145.
44. Kara I, Erkin A, Sacli H, et al. The effects of near-infrared spectroscopy on the neurocognitive functions in the patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with asymptomatic carotid artery disease: a randomized prospective study. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;21:544-550.
45. Rogers CA, Stoica S, Ellis L, et al. Randomized trial of near-infrared spectroscopy for personalized optimization of cerebral tissue oxygenation during cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* 2017;119:384-393.
46. Uysal S, Lin H-M, Trinh M, et al. Optimizing cerebral oxygenation in cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial examining neurocognitive and perioperative outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;159:943-953.e3.
47. Deschamps A, Hall R, Grocott H, et al. Cerebral oximetry monitoring to maintain normal cerebral oxygen saturation during high-risk cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;124:826-836.
48. Kertai MD, Pal N, Palanca BJA, et al. Association of perioperative risk factors and cumulative duration of low bispectral index with intermediate-term mortality after cardiac surgery in the B-Unaware Trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2010;112:1116-1127.
49. Vance JL, Shanks AM, Woodrum DT. Intraoperative bispectral index monitoring and time to extubation after cardiac surgery: secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2014;14:79.
50. Villafranca A, Thomson IA, Grocott HP, et al. The impact of bispectral index versus end-tidal anesthetic concentration-guided anesthesia on time to tracheal extubation in fast-track cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2013;116:541-548.
51. Vretzakis G, Ferdi E, Argiriadou H, et al. Influence of bispectral index monitoring on decision making during cardiac anesthesia. *J Clin Anesth.* 2005;17:509-516.

12.2. Bypass Conduits in Patients Undergoing CABG

1. Gaudino M, Benedetto U, Frenes S, et al. Radial-artery or saphenous-vein grafts in coronary-artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:2069-2077.
2. Cao C, Manganas C, Horton M, et al. Angiographic outcomes of radial artery versus saphenous vein in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;146:255-261.
3. Gaudino M, Lorusso R, Rahoouma M, et al. Radial artery versus right internal thoracic artery versus saphenous vein as the second conduit for coronary artery bypass surgery: a network meta-analysis of clinical outcomes. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8:e010839.
4. Zeff RH, Kongtahworn C, Iannone LA, et al. Internal mammary artery versus saphenous vein graft to the left anterior descending coronary artery: prospective

- randomized study with 10-year follow-up. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1988;45:533-536.
5. Boylan MJ, Lytle BW, Loop FD, et al. Surgical treatment of isolated left anterior descending coronary stenosis. Comparison of left internal mammary artery and venous autograft at 18 to 20 years of follow-up. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1994;107:657-662.
6. Loop FD, Lytle BW, Cosgrove DM, et al. Influence of the internal-mammary-artery graft on 10-year survival and other cardiac events. *N Engl J Med.* 1986;314:1-6.
7. Cameron A, Davis KB, Green G, et al. Coronary bypass surgery with internal-thoracic-artery grafts-effects on survival over a 15-year period. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334:216-219.
8. Tatoulis J, Buxton BF, Fuller JA. The right internal thoracic artery: the forgotten conduit-5,766 patients and 991 angiograms. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011;92:9-15. discussion-7.
9. Magruder JT, Young A, Grimm JC, et al. Bilateral internal thoracic artery grafting: does graft configuration affect outcome? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;152:120-127.
10. Yi G, Shine B, Rehman SM, et al. Effect of bilateral internal mammary artery grafts on long-term survival: a meta-analysis approach. *Circulation.* 2014;130:539-545.
11. Takagi H, Goto SN, Watanabe T, et al. A meta-analysis of adjusted hazard ratios from 20 observational studies of bilateral versus single internal thoracic artery coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:1282-1290.
12. Taggart DP, Benedetto U, Gerry S, et al. Bilateral versus single internal-thoracic-artery grafts at 10 years. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:437-446.
13. Gaudino M, Benedetto U, Frenes S, et al. Association of radial artery graft vs saphenous vein graft with long-term cardiovascular outcomes among patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2020;324:179-187.
14. Benedetto U, Raja SG, Albanese A, et al. Searching for the second best graft for coronary artery bypass surgery: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2015;47:59-65.
15. Abu-Omar Y, Mussa S, Anastasiadis K, et al. Duplex ultrasonography predicts safety of radial artery harvest in the presence of an abnormal Allen test. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;77:116-119.
16. Gaudino M, Di Franco A, Rahoouma M, et al. Unmeasured confounders in observational studies comparing bilateral versus single internal thoracic artery for coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e008010.
17. Schwann TA, Habib RH, Wallace A, et al. Operative outcomes of multiple-arterial versus single-arterial coronary bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2018;105:1109-1119.
18. Gaudino M, Puskas JD, Di Franco A, et al. Three arterial grafts improve late survival: a meta-analysis of propensity-matched studies. *Circulation.* 2017;135:1036-1044.

19. Yanagawa B, Verma S, Mazine A, et al. Impact of total arterial revascularization on long term survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 130,305 patients. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;233:29-36.

12.3. CABG in Patients Undergoing Other Cardiac Surgery

1. Abel NJ, Rogal GJ, Burns P, et al. Aortic valve replacement with and without coronary artery bypass graft surgery in octogenarians: is it safe and feasible? *Cardiology.* 2013;124:163-173.

2. Agarwal S, Garg A, Parashar A, et al. In-hospital mortality and stroke after surgical aortic valve replacement: a nationwide perspective. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;150:571-578.e8.

3. Biancari F, Martin M, Bordin G, et al. Basic data from 176 studies on the immediate outcome after aortic valve replacement with or without coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2014;28:1251-1256.

4. Carnero-Alcázar M, Reguillo-Lacruz F, Alswies A, et al. Short- and mid-term results for aortic valve replacement in octogenarians. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2010;10:549-554.

5. Di Gioia G, Pellicano M, Toth GG, et al. Clinical outcome of patients with aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease not treated according to current recommendations. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res.* 2016;9:145-152.

6. Roberts WC, Roberts CC, Vowels TJ, et al. Effect of coronary bypass and valve structure on outcome in isolated valve replacement for aortic stenosis. *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;109:1334-1340.

7. Beach JM, Mihaljevic T, Svensson LG, et al. Coronary artery disease and outcomes of aortic valve replacement for severe aortic stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:837-848.

8. Shan L, Saxena A, McMahon R, et al. A systematic review on the quality of life benefits after aortic valve replacement in the elderly. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;145:1173-1189.

9. Vasques F, Lucenteforte E, Paone R, et al. Outcome of patients aged ≥ 80 years undergoing combined aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 40 studies. *Am Heart J.* 2012;164:410-418.e1.

10. Thalji NM, Suri RM, Daly RC, et al. The prognostic impact of concomitant coronary artery bypass grafting during aortic valve surgery: implications for revascularization in the transcatheter era. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;149:451-460.

11. Yamanaka K, Komiya T, Tsuneyoshi H, et al. Outcomes of Concomitant Total Aortic Arch Replacement with Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;22:251-257.

12. Li Z, Anderson I, Amsterdam EA, et al. Effect of coronary artery disease extent on contemporary outcomes of combined aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2013;96:2075-2082.

12.4. Use of Epiaortic Ultrasound in Patients Undergoing CABG

1. Biancari F, Santini F, Tauriainen T, et al. Epiaortic ultrasound to prevent stroke in coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2020;109:294-301.

2. Yamaguchi A, Adachi H, Tanaka M, et al. Efficacy of intraoperative epiaortic ultrasound scanning for

preventing stroke after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2009;15:98-104.

3. Das S, Dunning J. Can epiaortic ultrasound reduce the incidence of intraoperative stroke during cardiac surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2004;3:71-75.

4. Djaiani G, Ali M, Borger MA, et al. Epiaortic scanning modifies planned intraoperative surgical management but not cerebral embolic load during coronary artery bypass surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2008;106:1611-1618.

5. Gold JP, Torres KE, Maldarelli W, et al. Improving outcomes in coronary surgery: the impact of echo-directed aortic cannulation and perioperative hemodynamic management in 500 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;78:1579-1585.

6. Joo HC, Youn YN, Kwak YL, et al. Intraoperative epiaortic scanning for preventing early stroke after off-pump coronary artery bypass. *Br J Anaesth.* 2013;111:374-381.

7. Lyons JM, Thourani VH, Puskas JD, et al. Intraoperative epiaortic ultrasound scanning guides operative strategies and identifies patients at high risk during coronary artery bypass grafting. *Innovations (Phila).* 2009;4:99-105.

8. Nakamura M, Okamoto F, Nakanishi K, et al. Does intensive management of cerebral hemodynamics and atheromatous aorta reduce stroke after coronary artery surgery? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:513-519.

9. Rosenberger P, Sherman SK, Löffler M, et al. The influence of epiaortic ultrasonography on intraoperative surgical management in 6051 cardiac surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:548-553.

10. Zingone B, Rauber E, Gatti G, et al. The impact of epiaortic ultrasonographic scanning on the risk of perioperative stroke. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2006;29:720-728.

11. Blauth CI, Cosgrove DM, Webb BW, et al. Atheroembolism from the ascending aorta. An emerging problem in cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1992;103:1104-1111. discussion 11-2.

12. Dávila-Román VG, Barzilai B, Wareing TH, et al. Atherosclerosis of the ascending aorta. Prevalence and role as an independent predictor of cerebrovascular events in cardiac patients. *Stroke.* 1994;25:2010-2016.

13. Bolotin G, Domany Y, de Perini L, et al. Use of intraoperative epiaortic ultrasonography to delineate aortic atheroma. *Chest.* 2005;127:60-65.

14. Suvarna S, Smith A, Stygall J, et al. An intraoperative assessment of the ascending aorta: a comparison of digital palpation, transesophageal echocardiography, and epiaortic ultrasonography. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2007;21:805-809.

15. Sylivris S, Calafiore P, Matalanis G, et al. The intraoperative assessment of ascending aortic atheroma: epiaortic imaging is superior to both transesophageal echocardiography and direct palpation. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 1997;11:704-707.

16. Hangler HB, Nagele G, Danzmayr M, et al. Modification of surgical technique for ascending aortic atherosclerosis: impact on stroke reduction in coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2003;126:391-400.

17. Meissner I, Khandheria BK, Sheps SG, et al. Atherosclerosis of the aorta: risk factor, risk marker, or innocent bystander? A prospective population-based

transesophageal echocardiography study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:1018-1024.

18. Hogue CW Jr, Murphy SF, Schechtman KB, et al. Risk factors for early or delayed stroke after cardiac surgery. *Circulation.* 1999;100:642-647.

19. Roach GW, Kanchuger M, Mangano CM, et al. Adverse cerebral outcomes after coronary bypass surgery. Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group and the Ischemia Research and Education Foundation Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335:1857-1863.

20. Djaiani G, Fedorko L, Borger M, et al. Mild to moderate atheromatous disease of the thoracic aorta and new ischemic brain lesions after conventional coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Stroke.* 2004;35:e356-e358.

21. Schachner T, Zimmer A, Nagele G, et al. The influence of ascending aortic atherosclerosis on the long-term survival after CABG. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2005;28:558-562.

22. Dávila-Román VG, Phillips KJ, Daily BB, et al. Intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography and epiaortic ultrasound for assessment of atherosclerosis of the thoracic aorta. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1996;28:942-947.

23. Royle C, Royle A, Blake D, et al. Screening the thoracic aorta for atheroma: a comparison of manual palpation, transesophageal and epiaortic ultrasonography. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1998;4:347-350.

24. Van Zaane B, Zuithoff NP, Reitsma JB, et al. Meta-analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of transesophageal echocardiography for assessment of atherosclerosis in the ascending aorta in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2008;52:1179-1187.

12.5. Use of Cardiopulmonary Bypass in Patients Undergoing CABG

1. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, et al. Off-pump or on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting at 30 days. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:1489-1497.

2. Smart NA, Dieberg G, King N. Long-term outcomes of on- versus off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:983-991.

3. Al-Ruzzeq S, George S, Bustami M, et al. Effect of off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery on clinical, angiographic, neurocognitive, and quality of life outcomes: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2006;332:1365.

4. Angelini GD, Taylor FC, Reeves BC, et al. Early and midterm outcome after off-pump and on-pump surgery in Beating Heart Against Cardioplegic Arrest Studies (BHACAS 1 and 2): a pooled analysis of two randomised controlled trials. *Lancet.* 2002;359:1194-1199.

5. Houliand K, Kjeldsen BJ, Madsen SN, et al. On-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery in elderly patients: results from the Danish on-pump versus off-pump randomization study. *Circulation.* 2012;125:2431-2439.

6. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, et al. Effects of off-pump and on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting at 1 year. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1179-1188.

7. Nathoe HM, van Dijk D, Jansen EW, et al. A comparison of on-pump and off-pump coronary bypass surgery in low-risk patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:394-402.

8. Puskas JD, Williams WH, Duke PG, et al. Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting provides complete revascularization with reduced myocardial injury, transfusion requirements, and length of stay: a prospective randomized comparison of two hundred unselected patients undergoing off-pump versus conventional coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2003;125:797-808.
9. Houliand K, Fenger-Gron M, Holme SJ, et al. Graft patency after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery is inferior even with identical heparinization protocols: results from the Danish On-pump Versus Off-pump Randomization Study (DOORS). *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:1812-1819.e2.
10. Hueb W, Lopes NH, Pereira AC, et al. Five-year follow-up of a randomized comparison between off-pump and on-pump stable multivessel coronary artery bypass grafting. The MASS III Trial. *Circulation.* 2010;122:S48-S52.
11. Moller CH, Perko MJ, Lund JT, et al. No major differences in 30-day outcomes in high-risk patients randomized to off-pump versus on-pump coronary bypass surgery: the best bypass surgery trial. *Circulation.* 2010;121:498-504.
12. Diegeler A, Börgermann J, Kappert U, et al. Five-year outcome after off-pump or on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. *Circulation.* 2019;139:1865-1871.
13. Garg AX, Devereaux PJ, Yusuf S, et al. Kidney function after off-pump or on-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2014;311:2191-2198.
14. Noiseux N, Stevens L, Chartrand-Lefebvre C, et al. Evaluation of graft patency in off-pump versus on-pump CABG: the PATENCY-CORONARY trial. *Can J Cardiol.* 2015;31(Suppl):S110.
15. Deppe A-C, Arbush W, Kuhn EW, et al. Current evidence of coronary artery bypass grafting off-pump versus on-pump: a systematic review with meta-analysis of over 16,900 patients investigated in randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;49:1031-1041. discussion 41.
16. Edelman JJ, Yan TD, Bannon PG, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting with and without manipulation of the ascending aorta—a meta-analysis. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2011;20:318-324.
17. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, et al. Five-year outcomes after off-pump or on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:2359-2368.
18. Shroyer AL, Hattler B, Wagner TH, et al. Five-year outcomes after on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:623-632.
19. Takagi H, Matsui M, Umemoto T. Off-pump coronary artery bypass may increase late mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;89:1881-1888.
20. Möller CH, Penninga L, Wetterslev J, et al. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting for ischaemic heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;3:CD007224.
21. Kuss O, von Salviati B, Börgermann J. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis of propensity score analyses. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2010;140:829-835, 35.e1-13.
22. D'Agostino RS, Jacobs JP, Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database: 2019 update on outcomes and quality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107:24-32.
23. Diegeler A, Borgermann J, Kappert U, et al. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1189-1198.
- 13.1. Insulin Infusion and Other Measures to Reduce Sternal Wound Infection in Patients Undergoing CABG**
1. Furnary AP, Zerr KJ, Grunkemeier GL, et al. Continuous intravenous insulin infusion reduces the incidence of deep sternal wound infection in diabetic patients after cardiac surgical procedures. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999;67:352-360. discussion 60-2.
2. Hruska LA, Smith JM, Hendy MP, et al. Continuous insulin infusion reduces infectious complications in diabetics following coronary surgery. *J Card Surg.* 2005;20:403-407.
3. Lazar HL, Chipkin SR, Fitzgerald CA, et al. Tight glycemic control in diabetic coronary artery bypass graft patients improves perioperative outcomes and decreases recurrent ischemic events. *Circulation.* 2004;109:1497-1502.
4. Umpierrez G, Cardona S, Pasquel F, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Intensive Versus Conservative Glucose Control in Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery: GLUCO-CABG Trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38:1665-1672.
5. Furnary AP, Gao G, Grunkemeier GL, et al. Continuous insulin infusion reduces mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2003;125:1007-1021.
6. Furnary AP, Wu Y. Eliminating the diabetic disadvantage: the Portland Diabetic Project. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006;18:302-308.
7. Rogers L, Vaja R, Bleetman D, et al. Interventions to prevent surgical site infection in adults undergoing cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2019;5:CD013332.
8. Edwards LD. The epidemiology of 2056 remote site infections and 1966 surgical wound infections occurring in 1865 patients: a four year study of 40,923 operations at Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Hospital, Chicago. *Ann Surg.* 1976;184:758-766.
9. Engelman R, Shahian D, Shemin R, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guideline series: antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, part II: antibiotic choice. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;83:1569-1576.
10. Lazar HL, Salm TV, Engelman R, et al. Prevention and management of sternal wound infections. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;152:962-972.
11. Vander Salm TJ, Okike ON, Pasque MK, et al. Reduction of sternal infection by application of topical vancomycin. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1989;98:618-622.
12. Steingrímsson S, Gustafsson R, Gudbjartsson T, et al. Sternotomaneous fistulas after cardiac surgery: incidence and late outcome during a ten-year follow-up. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;88:1910-1915.
13. Bhatti F, Dunning J. Does liberal use of bone wax increase the risk of mediastinitis? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2003;2:410-412.
14. Kieser TM, Rose MS, Aluthman U, et al. Toward zero: deep sternal wound infection after 1001 consecutive coronary artery bypass procedures using arterial grafts: implications for diabetic patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:1887-1895.
15. Gandhi GY, Nuttall GA, Abel MD, et al. Intensive intraoperative insulin therapy versus conventional glucose management during cardiac surgery: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;146:233-243.
16. D'Agostino RS, Jacobs JP, Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database: 2019 update on outcomes and quality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107:24-32.
17. Gelljns AC, Moskowitz AJ, Acker MA, et al. Management practices and major infections after cardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:372-381.
18. Anderson DJ, Podgorny K, Berríos-Torres SI, et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014;35(suppl 2):S66-S88.
19. Lazar HL, McDonnell M, Chipkin SR, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guideline series: blood glucose management during adult cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:663-669.
20. Abelev Z, Seth A, Patel R, et al. Continuous insulin infusion is associated with a reduced post-surgical length of stay, but not with the complication rate, in patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing coronary artery bypass graft. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2011;34:770-774.
21. Ogawa S, Okawa Y, Sawada K, et al. Continuous postoperative insulin infusion reduces deep sternal wound infection in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting using bilateral internal mammary artery grafts: a propensity-matched analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;49:420-426.
22. Lazar HL, Ketchedjian A, Haime M, et al. Topical vancomycin in combination with perioperative antibiotics and tight glycemic control helps to eliminate sternal wound infections. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:1035-1038, 8-40.
23. Koek MBG, Hopmans TEM, Soetens LC, et al. Adhering to a national surgical care bundle reduces the risk of surgical site infections. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0184200.
24. Lavalée JF, Gray TA, Dumville J, et al. The effects of care bundles on patient outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12:142.
25. Andrade LS, Siliprandi EMO, Karsburg LL, et al. Surgical site infection prevention bundle in cardiac surgery. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2019;112:769-774.
26. Edwards FH, Engelman RM, Houck P, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guideline series: antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, part I: duration. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2006;81:397-404.
27. Vestergaard RF, Jensen H, Vind-Kezunovic S, et al. Bone healing after median sternotomy: a comparison of two hemostatic devices. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2010;5:117.
28. Schwann TA, Habib RH, Wallace A, et al. Operative outcomes of multiple-arterial versus single-arterial coronary bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2018;105:1109-1119.
29. Sa MP, Ferraz PE, Escobar RR, et al. Skeletonized versus pedicled internal thoracic artery and risk of sternal wound infection after coronary bypass surgery:

meta-analysis and meta-regression of 4817 patients. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2013;16:849–857.

30. Butterworth J, Wagenknecht LE, Legault C, et al. Attempted control of hyperglycemia during cardiopulmonary bypass fails to improve neurologic or neurobehavioral outcomes in patients without diabetes mellitus undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2005;130:1319.

31. Cardona S, Pasquel FJ, Fayman M, et al. Hospitalization costs and clinical outcomes in CABG patients treated with intensive insulin therapy. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2017;31:742–747.

32. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e123–e210.

13.2. Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients Undergoing CABG

1. Bybee KA, Powell BD, Valeti U, et al. Preoperative aspirin therapy is associated with improved postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Circulation*. 2005;112(suppl 1):I-286–I-292.

2. Dacey LJ, Munoz JJ, Johnson ER, et al. Effect of preoperative aspirin use on mortality in coronary artery bypass grafting patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000;70:1986–1990.

3. Hastings S, Myles P, McLroy D. Aspirin and coronary artery surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;115:376–385.

4. Ma X, Ma C, Yun Y, et al. Safety and efficacy outcomes of preoperative aspirin in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;19:97–113.

5. Sá MPBO, Soares AF, Miranda RGA, et al. Stopping versus continuing acetylsalicylic acid before coronary artery bypass surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials with 4499 patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2017;52:838–847.

6. Jacob M, Smedira N, Blackstone E, et al. Effect of timing of chronic preoperative aspirin discontinuation on morbidity and mortality in coronary artery bypass surgery. *Circulation*. 2011;123:577–583.

7. Mikkola R, Wistbacka J-O, Gunn J, et al. Timing of preoperative aspirin discontinuation and outcome after elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2012;26:245–250.

8. Hansson EC, Jidéus L, Åberg B, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting-related bleeding complications in patients treated with ticagrelor or clopidogrel: a nationwide study. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:189–197.

9. Herman CR, Buth KJ, Kent BA, et al. Clopidogrel increases blood transfusion and hemorrhagic complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2010;89:397–402.

10. Firanescu CE, Martens EJ, Schönberger JPAM, et al. Postoperative blood loss in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery after preoperative treatment with clopidogrel: a prospective randomised controlled study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2009;36:856–862.

11. Held C, Asenblad N, Bassand JP, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: results from the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:672–684.

12. Bizzarri F, Scolletta S, Tucci E, et al. Perioperative use of tirofiban hydrochloride (Aggrastat) does not increase surgical bleeding after emergency or urgent coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2001;122:1181–1185.

13. Dyke CM, Bhatia D, Lorenz TJ, et al. Immediate coronary artery bypass surgery after platelet inhibition with eptifibatid: results from PURSUIT. Platelet Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in Unstable Angina: Receptor Suppression Using Integrelin Therapy. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000;70:866–871. discussion 71–2.

14. Lincoff AM, LeNarz LA, Despotis GJ, et al. Abciximab and bleeding during coronary surgery: results from the EPILOG and EPISTENT trials. Improve Long-term Outcome with abciximab GP IIb/IIIa blockade. Evaluation of Platelet IIb/IIIa Inhibition in STENTing. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000;70:516–526.

15. Berger JS, Frye CB, Harshaw Q, et al. Impact of clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes requiring coronary artery bypass surgery: a multicenter analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52:1693–1701.

16. Siller-Matula JM, Petre A, Delle-Karth G, et al. Impact of preoperative use of P2Y12 receptor inhibitors on clinical outcomes in cardiac and non-cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2017;6:753–770.

17. Biancari F, Airaksinen KEJ, Lip GYH. Benefits and risks of using clopidogrel before coronary artery bypass surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials and observational studies. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2012;143:665–675.e4.

18. Kremke M, Tang M, Bak M, et al. Antiplatelet therapy at the time of coronary artery bypass grafting: a multicentre cohort study. *European J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2013;44:e133–e140.

19. Gherli R, Mariscalco G, Dalén M, et al. Safety of preoperative use of ticagrelor with or without aspirin compared with aspirin alone in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2016;1:921–928.

20. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Butler K, et al. Randomized double-blind assessment of the ONSET and OFFSET of the antiplatelet effects of ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the ONSET/OFFSET study. *Circulation*. 2009;120:2577–2585.

21. Tomšič A, Schotborgh MA, Manshanden JSJ, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting-related bleeding complications in patients treated with dual antiplatelet treatment. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2016;50:849–856.

22. Smith PK, Goodnough LT, Levy JH, et al. Mortality benefit with prasugrel in the TRITON-TIMI 38 coronary artery bypass grafting cohort: risk-adjusted retrospective data analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:388–396.

23. Dudek D, Dziewierz A, Widimsky P, et al. Impact of prasugrel pretreatment and timing of coronary artery bypass grafting on clinical outcomes of patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: from the A Comparison of Prasugrel at PCI or Time of

Diagnosis of Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (ACCOAST) study. *Am Heart J*. 2015;170:1025–1032.e2.

24. Myles PS, Smith JA, Forbes A, et al. Stopping vs. continuing aspirin before coronary artery surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:728–737.

25. Deja MA, Kargul T, Domaradzki W, et al. Effects of preoperative aspirin in coronary artery bypass grafting: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2012;144:204–209.

26. STS. ACSD Training Manual. 2019. Accessed March 30, 2021. Available at https://www.sts.org/sites/default/files/ACSD_TrainingManualV2-9_July2019.pdf

27. Eagle KA, Guyton RA, Davidoff R, et al. ACC/AHA 2004 guideline update for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1999 Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery). *Circulation*. 2004;110:e340–e437.

13.3. Beta Blockers and Amiodarone in Patients Undergoing CABG

1. Auer J, Weber T, Berent R, et al. A comparison between oral antiarrhythmic drugs in the prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: the pilot study of prevention of postoperative atrial fibrillation (SPPAF), a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Am Heart J*. 2004;147:636–643.

2. Imren Y, Benson AA, Zor H, et al. Preoperative beta-blocker use reduces atrial fibrillation in off-pump coronary bypass surgery. *ANZ J Surg*. 2007;77:429–432.

3. Pfisterer ME, Klöter-Weber UC, Huber M, et al. Prevention of supraventricular tachyarrhythmias after open heart operation by low-dose sotalol: a prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1997;64:1113–1119.

4. Gomes JA, Ip J, Santoni-Rugiu F, et al. Oral d,l sotalol reduces the incidence of postoperative atrial fibrillation in coronary artery bypass surgery patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999;34:334–339.

5. Yazicioglu L, Eryilmaz S, Sirlak M, et al. The effect of preoperative digitalis and atenolol combination on postoperative atrial fibrillation incidence. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2002;22:397–401.

6. Blessberger H, Kammler J, Domanovits H, et al. Perioperative beta-blockers for preventing surgery-related mortality and morbidity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014:Cd004476.

7. Thein PM, White K, Banker K, et al. Preoperative use of oral beta-adrenergic blocking agents and the incidence of new-onset atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2018;27:310–321.

8. Crystal E, Connolly SJ, Sleik K, et al. Interventions on prevention of postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2002;106:75–80.

9. Arsenault KA, Yusuf AM, Crystal E, et al. Interventions for preventing post-operative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;1:CD003611.

10. Chatterjee S, Sardar P, Mukherjee D, et al. Timing and route of amiodarone for prevention of postoperative atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a

network regression meta-analysis. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2013;36:1017-1023.

11. Mitchell LB, Exner DV, Wyse DG, et al. Prophylactic Oral Amiodarone for the Prevention of Arrhythmias that Begin Early After Revascularization, Valve Replacement, or Repair: PABEAR: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2005;294:3093-3100.

12. Brinkman WT, Herbert MA, Prince SL, et al. Preoperative beta-blocker usage: is it really worthy of being a quality indicator? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011;92:788-795. discussion 95-6.

13. Kohsaka S, Miyata H, Motomura N, et al. Effects of preoperative β -blocker use on clinical outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting: a report from the Japanese Cardiovascular Surgery Database. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;124:45-55.

14. O'Neal JB, FTt Billings, Liu X, et al. Effect of preoperative beta-blocker use on outcomes following cardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol.* 2017;120:1293-1297.

15. Lin T, Hasaniya NW, Krider S, et al. Mortality reduction with beta-blockers in ischemic cardiomyopathy patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Congest Heart Fail.* 2010;16:170-174.

16. Ferguson TB Jr, Coombs LP, Peterson ED. Preoperative beta-blocker use and mortality and morbidity following CABG surgery in North America. *JAMA.* 2002;287:2221-2227.

17. Kertai MD, Esper SA, Akushevich I, et al. Preoperative CYP2D6 metabolism-dependent β -blocker use and mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;147:1368-1375.e3.

18. Blessberger H, Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, et al. Perioperative beta-blockers for preventing surgery-related mortality and morbidity in adults undergoing cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;9: Cd013435.

19. Aasbo JD, Lawrence AT, Krishnan K, et al. Amiodarone prophylaxis reduces major cardiovascular morbidity and length of stay after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;143:327-336.

14.1. Pharmacotherapy for Risk Factor Control in Patients After Revascularization

1. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:e285-e350.

2. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:e177-e232.

3. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:e127-e248.

4. American Diabetes Association. 8. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: standards of

medical care in diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care.* 2018;41: S73-S85.

14.2. Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients After PCI

1. Mehran R, Baber U, Sharma SK, et al. Ticagrelor with or without aspirin in high-risk patients after PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:2032-2042.

2. Hahn JY, Song YB, Oh JH, et al. Effect of P2Y12 inhibitor monotherapy vs dual antiplatelet therapy on cardiovascular events in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the SMART-CHOICE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2019;321:2428-2437.

3. Watanabe H, Domei T, Morimoto T, et al. Effect of 1-month dual antiplatelet therapy followed by clopidogrel vs 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy on cardiovascular and bleeding events in patients receiving PCI: the STOPDAPT-2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2019;321:2414-2427.

4. Kim BK, Hong SJ, Cho YH, et al. Effect of ticagrelor monotherapy vs ticagrelor with aspirin on major bleeding and cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome: the TICO randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2020;323:2407-2416.

5. Bittl JA, Baber U, Bradley SM, et al. Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy: a systematic review for the 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1116-1139.

6. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1082-1115.

7. Vranckx P, Valgimigli M, Juni P, et al. Ticagrelor plus aspirin for 1 month, followed by ticagrelor monotherapy for 23 months vs aspirin plus clopidogrel or ticagrelor for 12 months, followed by aspirin monotherapy for 12 months after implantation of a drug-eluting stent: a multicentre, open-label, randomised superiority trial. *Lancet.* 2018;392:940-949.

14.3. Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients After CABG

1. Mangano DT, Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. Aspirin and mortality from coronary bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347: 1309-1317.

2. Lorenz RL, Schacky CV, Weber M, et al. Improved aortocoronary bypass patency by low-dose aspirin (100 mg daily). Effects on platelet aggregation and thromboxane formation. *Lancet.* 1984;1:1261-1264.

3. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. *BMJ.* 2002;324:71-86.

4. Chakos A, Jbara D, Singh K, et al. Network meta-analysis of antiplatelet therapy following coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG): none versus one versus two antiplatelet agents. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;7:577-585.

5. Goldman S, Copeland J, Moritz T, et al. Saphenous vein graft patency 1 year after coronary artery bypass surgery and effects of antiplatelet therapy. Results of a Veterans Administration Cooperative Study. *Circulation.* 1989;80:1190-1197.

6. Brown BG, Cukingnan RA, DeRouen T, et al. Improved graft patency in patients treated with platelet-inhibiting therapy after coronary bypass surgery. *Circulation.* 1985;72:138-146.

7. Meister W, von Schacky C, Weber M, et al. Low-dose acetylsalicylic acid (100 mg/day) after aortocoronary bypass surgery: a placebo-controlled trial. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1984;17:703-711.

8. Cardoso R, Knijnik L, Whelton SP, et al. Dual versus single antiplatelet therapy after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: an updated meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;269:80-88.

9. Solo K, Lavi S, Kabali C, et al. Antithrombotic treatment after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2019;367:l5476.

10. Zhao Q, Zhu Y, Xu Z, et al. Effect of ticagrelor plus aspirin, ticagrelor alone, or aspirin alone on saphenous vein graft patency 1 year after coronary artery bypass grafting: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2018;319: 1677-1686.

11. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: an update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:1082-1115.

12. Sethi GK, Copeland JG, Goldman S, et al. Implications of preoperative administration of aspirin in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study on Antiplatelet Therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1990;15:15-20.

14.4. Beta Blockers in Patients After Revascularization

1. Motivala AA, Parikh V, Roe M, et al. Predictors, trends, and outcomes (among older patients \geq 65 years of age) associated with beta-blocker use in patients with stable angina undergoing elective

percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the NCDR Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:1639-1648.

2. Bangalore S, Steg G, Deedwania P, et al. β -Blocker use and clinical outcomes in stable outpatients with and without coronary artery disease. *JAMA.* 2012;308:1340-1349.

3. Bangalore S, Makani H, Radford M, et al. Clinical outcomes with β -blockers for myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am J Med.* 2014;127:939-953.

4. Andersson C, Shilane D, Go AS, et al. β -blocker therapy and cardiac events among patients with newly diagnosed coronary heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:247-252.

5. Angeloni E, Melina G, Roscitano A, et al. β -Blockers improve survival of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2013;95:525-531.

6. Zhang H, Yuan X, Zhang H, et al. Efficacy of long-term β -blocker therapy for secondary prevention of long-term outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Circulation.* 2015;131:2194-2201.

7. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:e139-e228.

8. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:e78-e140.

9. Bangalore S, Makani H, Radford M, et al. Clinical outcomes with beta-blockers for myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am J Med.* 2014;127:939-953.

14.5. Beta Blockers for the Prevention of Atrial Fibrillation After CABG

1. Arsenault KA, Yusuf AM, Crystal E, et al. Interventions for preventing post-operative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;1:CD003611.

2. Crystal E, Garfinkle MS, Connolly SS, et al. Interventions for preventing post-operative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;Cd003611.

3. Burgess DC, Kilborn MJ, Keech AC. Interventions for prevention of post-operative atrial fibrillation and its complications after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2846-2857.

4. Khan MF, Wendel CS, Movahed MR. Prevention of post-coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) atrial fibrillation: efficacy of prophylactic beta-blockers in the modern era: a meta-analysis of latest randomized controlled trials. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* 2013;18:58-68.

5. Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:e123-e210.

6. Fuster V, Ryden LE, Cannom DS, et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2001 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation). *Circulation.* 2006;114:e257-e354.

7. Fuster V, Rydén LE, Cannom DS, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused updates incorporated into the ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:e101-e198.

8. Kaw R, Hernandez AV, Masood I, et al. Short- and long-term mortality associated with new-onset atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2011;141:1305-1312.

9. Kosmidou I, Chen S, Kappetein AP, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation after PCI or CABG for left main disease: the EXCEL trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:739-748.

10. Blessberger H, Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, et al. Perioperative beta-blockers for preventing surgery-related mortality and morbidity in adults undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;9:CD013438.

14.6. Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation on Anticoagulation After PCI

1. Lopes RD, Heizer G, Aronson R, et al. Antithrombotic therapy after acute coronary syndrome or PCI in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:1509-1524.

2. Lopes RD, Hong H, Harskamp RE, et al. Safety and efficacy of antithrombotic strategies in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2019;4:747-755.

3. Lopes RD, Leonardi S, Wojdyla DM, et al. Stent Thrombosis in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Coronary Stenting in the AUGUSTUS Trial. *Circulation.* 2020;141:781-783.

4. Vranckx P, Valgimigli M, Eckardt L, et al. Edoxaban-based versus vitamin K antagonist-based antithrombotic regimen after successful coronary stenting in patients with atrial fibrillation (ENTRUST-AF PCI): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet.* 2019;394:1335-1343.

5. Dewilde WJ, Oirbans T, Verheugt FW, et al. Use of clopidogrel with or without aspirin in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy and undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381:1107-1115.

6. Cannon CP, Bhatt DL, Oldgren J, et al. Dual antithrombotic therapy with dabigatran after PCI in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:1513-1524.

7. Gibson CM, Mehran R, Bode C, et al. Prevention of bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing PCI. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:2423-2434.

8. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of

Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:104-132.

15.1. Cardiac Rehabilitation and Education

1. Anderson L, Sharp GA, Norton RJ, et al. Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;6:CD007130.

2. Anderson L, Oldridge N, Thompson DR, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;67:1-12.

3. Janssen V, De Gucht V, Dusseldorp E, et al. Lifestyle modification programmes for patients with coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2013;20:620-640.

4. Anderson L, Brown JP, Clark AM, et al. Patient education in the management of coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;6:CD008895.

5. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:2960-2984.

6. Riegel B, Moser DK, Buck HG, et al. Self-care for the prevention and management of cardiovascular disease and stroke: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e006997.

7. Smith SC Jr, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACC secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:2432-2446.

8. Dalal HM, Doherty P, Taylor RS. Cardiac rehabilitation. *BMJ.* 2015;351:h5000.

9. Thomas RJ, Balady G, Banka G, et al. 2018 ACC/AHA clinical performance and quality measures for cardiac rehabilitation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:1814-1837.

10. Balady GJ, Williams MA, Ades PA, et al. Core components of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs: 2007 update: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Circulation.* 2007;115:2675-2682.

11. Dunlay SM, Pack QR, Thomas RJ, et al. Participation in cardiac rehabilitation, readmissions, and death after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Med.* 2014;127:538-546.

12. Hamm LF, Sanderson BK, Ades PA, et al. Core competencies for cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention professionals: 2010 update: position statement of the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2011;31:2-10.

13. American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Guidelines for Cardiac Rehabilitation and Secondary Prevention Programs*. 5th ed. Human Kinetics; 2013:323.

14. Thomas RJ, Beatty AL, Beckie TM, et al. Home-based cardiac rehabilitation: a scientific statement from the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, the American Heart Association, and the American College of Cardiology. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e69–e89.

15. Buckingham SA, Taylor RS, Jolly K, et al. Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation: abridged Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Heart*. 2016;3:e000463.

16. Dalal HM, Zawada A, Jolly K, et al. Home based versus centre based cardiac rehabilitation: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;340:b5631.

17. Taylor RS, Dalal H, Jolly K, et al. Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;8:CD007130.

18. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:e177–e232.

19. Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, et al. Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's strategic impact goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation*. 2010;121:586–613.

15.2. Smoking Cessation in Patients After Revascularization

1. Cahill K, Lindson-Hawley N, Thomas KH, et al. Nicotine receptor partial agonists for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;2016:Cd006103.

2. Stead LF, Koilpillai P, Fanshawe TR, et al. Combined pharmacotherapy and behavioural interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;3:Cd008286.

3. Ebbert JO, Elrashidi MY, Stead LF. Interventions for smokeless tobacco use cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;2015:Cd004306.

4. Rigotti NA, Clair C, Munafo MR, et al. Interventions for smoking cessation in hospitalised patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;5:Cd001837.

5. Suissa K, Larivière J, Eisenberg MJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of smoking cessation interventions in patients with cardiovascular disease: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2017;10:e002458.

6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Smoking Cessation. A Report of the Surgeon General. 2020. Accessed March 23, 2020. Available at: <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2020-cessation-sgr-full-report.pdf>

7. Barua RS, Rigotti NA, Benowitz NL, et al. 2018 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on tobacco cessation treatment: a report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:3332–3365.

8. Zhang YJ, Iqbal J, van Klaveren D, et al. Smoking is associated with adverse clinical outcomes in patients undergoing revascularization with PCI or CABG: the SYNTAX trial at 5-year follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:1107–1115.

9. D'Ascenzo F, Bollati M, Clementi F, et al. Incidence and predictors of coronary stent thrombosis: evidence from an international collaborative meta-analysis including 30 studies, 221,066 patients, and 4276 thromboses. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;167:575–584.

10. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Ribisl KM, et al. Electronic cigarettes: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1418–1436.

11. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Blaha MJ, et al. New and emerging tobacco products and the nicotine endgame: the role of robust regulation and comprehensive tobacco control and prevention: a presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139:e937–e958.

12. Conklin DJ, Schick S, Blaha MJ, et al. Cardiovascular injury induced by tobacco products: assessment of risk factors and biomarkers of harm. A Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science compilation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2019;316:H801–H827.

13. Bhatnagar A. Cardiovascular perspective of the promises and perils of e-cigarettes. *Circ Res*. 2016;118:1872–1875.

14. Mirbolouk M, Charkhchi P, Kianoush S, et al. Prevalence and distribution of e-cigarette use among U.S. adults: behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2016. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169:429–438.

15. Bao W, Xu G, Lu J, et al. Changes in electronic cigarette use among adults in the United States, 2014–2016. *JAMA*. 2018;319:2039–2041.

16. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:e177–e232.

17. Clinical Practice Guideline Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence 2008 Update Panel Liaisons, and Staff. A clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. A U.S. Public Health Service report. *Am J Prev Med*. 2008;35:158–176.

18. Anthenelli RM, Benowitz NL, West R, et al. Neuropsychiatric safety and efficacy of varenicline, bupropion, and nicotine patch in smokers with and without psychiatric disorders (EAGLES): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2507–2520.

19. Rigotti NA, Pipe AL, Benowitz NL, et al. Efficacy and safety of varenicline for smoking cessation in patients with cardiovascular disease: a randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2010;121:221–229.

20. Windle SB, Bata I, Madan M, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of varenicline for smoking cessation after acute coronary syndrome: design and methods of the Evaluation of Varenicline in Smoking Cessation for Patients Post-Acute Coronary Syndrome trial. *Am Heart J*. 2015;170:635–640.e1.

21. Windle SB, Dehghani P, Roy N, et al. Smoking abstinence 1 year after acute coronary syndrome:

follow-up from a randomized controlled trial of varenicline in patients admitted to hospital. *CMAJ*. 2018;190:E347–E354.

22. Eisenberg MJ, Windle SB, Roy N, et al. Varenicline for smoking cessation in hospitalized patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Circulation*. 2016;133:21–30.

23. Benowitz NL, Pipe A, West R, et al. Cardiovascular safety of varenicline, bupropion, and nicotine patch in smokers: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2018;178:622–631.

15.3. Psychological Interventions in Patients After Revascularization

1. Richards SH, Anderson L, Jenkinson CE, et al. Psychological interventions for coronary heart disease: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2018;25:247–259.

2. Kim JM, Stewart R, Lee YS, et al. Effect of escitalopram vs placebo treatment for depression on long-term cardiac outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2018;320:350–358.

3. Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Smith PJ, et al. Enhancing cardiac rehabilitation with stress management training: a randomized, clinical efficacy trial. *Circulation*. 2016;133:1341–1350.

4. Rakowska JM. Brief strategic therapy in first myocardial infarction patients with increased levels of stress: a randomized clinical trial. *Anxiety Stress Coping*. 2015;28:687–705.

5. Berkman LF, Blumenthal J, Burg M, et al. Effects of treating depression and low perceived social support on clinical events after myocardial infarction: the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICH) Randomized Trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:3106–3116.

6. Waterman LA, Belnap BH, Gebara MA, et al. Bypassing the blues: insomnia in the depressed post-CABG population. *Ann Clin Psychiatry*. 2020;32:17–26.

7. Rollman BL, Belnap BH, LeMenager MS, et al. Telephone-delivered collaborative care for treating post-CABG depression: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2009;302:2095–2103.

8. Siu AL, Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, et al. Screening for depression in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. 2016;315:380–387.

9. Kronish IM, Moise N, Cheung YK, et al. Effect of depression screening after acute coronary syndromes on quality of life: the CODIACS-QoL randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;180:45–53.

10. Tully PJ, Cosh SM, Baumeister H. The anxious heart in whose mind? A systematic review and meta-regression of factors associated with anxiety disorder diagnosis, treatment and morbidity risk in coronary heart disease. *J Psychosom Res*. 2014;77:439–448.

11. Dickens C. Depression in people with coronary heart disease: prognostic significance and mechanisms. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2015;17:83.

12. Davidson KW, Alcántara C, Miller GE. Selected psychological comorbidities in coronary heart disease:

challenges and grand opportunities. *Am Psychol*. 2018;73:1019-1030.

13. Thoms BD, Bass EB, Ford DE, et al. Prevalence of depression in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21:30-38.
14. Stenman M, Holzmann MJ, Sartipy U. Association between preoperative depression and long-term survival following coronary artery bypass surgery - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016;222:462-466.
15. Okunrintemi V, Valero-Elizondo J, Michos ED, et al. Association of depression risk with patient experience, healthcare expenditure, and health resource utilization among adults with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2019;34:2427-2434.
16. Bangalore S, Shah R, Pappadopoulos E, et al. Cardiovascular hazards of insufficient treatment of depression among patients with known cardiovascular disease: a propensity score adjusted analysis. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*. 2018;4:258-266.
17. Cohen BE, Edmondson D, Kronish IM. State of the art review: depression, stress, anxiety, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Hypertens*. 2015;28:1295-1302.
18. Leifheit-Limson EC, Kasl SV, Lin H, et al. Adherence to risk factor management instructions after acute myocardial infarction: the role of emotional support and depressive symptoms. *Ann Behav Med*. 2012;43:198-207.
19. Lichtman JH, Froelicher ES, Blumenthal JA, et al. Depression as a risk factor for poor prognosis among patients with acute coronary syndrome: systematic review and recommendations: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1350-1369.
20. Gale CR, Batty GD, Osborn DP, et al. Mental disorders across the adult life course and future coronary heart disease: evidence for general susceptibility. *Circulation*. 2014;129:186-193.
21. Steptoe A, Kivimäki M. Stress and cardiovascular disease: an update on current knowledge. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2013;34:337-354.
22. Carney RM, Freedland KE, Steinmeyer B, et al. Depression and five year survival following acute myocardial infarction: a prospective study. *J Affect Disord*. 2008;109:133-138.
23. Geulayov G, Novikov I, Dankner D, et al. Symptoms of depression and anxiety and 11-year all-cause mortality in men and women undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. *J Psychosom Res*. 2018;105:106-114.
24. Pedersen SS, von Känel R, Tully PJ, et al. Psychosocial perspectives in cardiovascular disease. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2017;24:108-115.
25. Tully PJ, Baker RA, Turnbull D, et al. The role of depression and anxiety symptoms in hospital readmissions after cardiac surgery. *J Behav Med*. 2008;31:281-290.
26. Blumenthal JA, Lett HS, Babyak MA, et al. Depression as a risk factor for mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Lancet*. 2003;362:604-609.
27. Tully PJ, Winefield HR, Baker RA, et al. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Beck Depression Inventory-II and the association with cardiac morbidity and

mortality after coronary revascularization. *J Health Psychol*. 2011;16:584-595.

28. Poole L, Leigh E, Kidd T, et al. The combined association of depression and socioeconomic status with length of post-operative hospital stay following coronary artery bypass graft surgery: data from a prospective cohort study. *J Psychosom Res*. 2014;76:34-40.
29. Connerney I, Sloan RP, Shapiro PA, et al. Depression is associated with increased mortality 10 years after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Psychosom Med*. 2010;72:874-881.
30. Ravven S, Bader C, Azar A, et al. Depressive symptoms after CABG surgery: a meta-analysis. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2013;21:59-69.
31. Rutledge T, Redwine LS, Linke SE, et al. A meta-analysis of mental health treatments and cardiac rehabilitation for improving clinical outcomes and depression among patients with coronary heart disease. *Psychosom Med*. 2013;75:335-349.
32. Kim JM, Bae KY, Stewart R, et al. Escitalopram treatment for depressive disorder following acute coronary syndrome: a 24-week double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015;76:62-68.
33. Taylor CB, Youngblood ME, Catellier D, et al. Effects of antidepressant medication on morbidity and mortality in depressed patients after myocardial infarction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62:792-798.
34. Rollman BL, Belnap BH, LeMenager MS, et al. The Bypassing the Blues treatment protocol: stepped collaborative care for treating post-CABG depression. *Psychosom Med*. 2009;71:217-230.
35. Nieuwsma JA, Williams JW Jr, Namdari N, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of screening tests and treatment for post-acute coronary syndrome depression: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;167:725-735.
36. Lichtman JH, Bigger JT Jr, Blumenthal JA, et al. Depression and coronary heart disease: recommendations for screening, referral, and treatment: a science advisory from the American Heart Association Prevention Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. *Circulation*. 2008;118:1768-1775.
37. Smith SC Jr, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:2432-2446.

16.1. Assessment of Outcomes in Patients After Revascularization

1. Hannan EL, Wu C, Ryan TJ, et al. Do hospitals and surgeons with higher coronary artery bypass graft surgery volumes still have lower risk-adjusted mortality rates? *Circulation*. 2003;108:795-801.
2. Kim LK, Looser P, Swaminathan RV, et al. Outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery in the United States based on hospital volume, 2007 to 2011. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2016;151:1686-1692.

3. Nallamothu BK, Saint S, Ramsey SD, et al. The role of hospital volume in coronary artery bypass grafting: is more always better? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;38:1923-1930.

4. Peterson ED, Coombs LP, DeLong ER, et al. Procedural volume as a marker of quality for CABG surgery. *JAMA*. 2004;291:195-201.
5. Shahian DM, O'Brien SM, Normand SL, et al. Association of hospital coronary artery bypass volume with processes of care, mortality, morbidity, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons composite quality score. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2010;139:273-282.
6. Wu C, Hannan EL, Ryan TJ, et al. Is the impact of hospital and surgeon volumes on the in-hospital mortality rate for coronary artery bypass graft surgery limited to patients at high risk? *Circulation*. 2004;110:784-789.
7. Shahian DM, Jacobs JP, Edwards FH, et al. The society of thoracic surgeons national database. *Heart*. 2013;99:1494-1501.
8. Campanella P, Vukovic V, Parente P, et al. The impact of public reporting on clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2016;16:296.
9. Harold JG, Bass TA, Bashore TM, et al. ACCF/AHA/SCAI 2013 update of the clinical competence statement on coronary artery interventional procedures: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/American College of Physicians Task Force on Clinical Competence and Training (Writing Committee to Revise the 2007 Clinical Competence Statement on Cardiac Interventional Procedures). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:357-396.
10. Naidu SS, Aronow HD, Box LC, et al. SCAI expert consensus statement: 2016 best practices in the cardiac catheterization laboratory. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;88:407-423.
11. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:e44-e122.

17. Unanswered Questions and Future Directions

1. Carnethon MR, Pu J, Howard G, et al. Cardiovascular health in African Americans: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;136:e393-e423.
2. Buchholz EM, Ma S, Normand S-LT, et al. Race, socioeconomic status, and life expectancy after acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2015;132:1338-1346.
3. Yong CM, Ungar L, Abnoui F, et al. Racial differences in quality of care and outcomes after acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Cardiol*. 2018;121:1489-1495.
4. Volgman AS, Palaniappan LS, Aggarwal NT, et al. Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in South Asians in the United States: epidemiology, risk factors, and treatments: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;138:e1-e34.

5. Jose PO, Frank ATH, Kapphahn KI, et al. Cardiovascular disease mortality in Asian Americans. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:2486-2494.
6. Brister SJ, Hamdulay Z, Verma S, et al. Ethnic diversity: South Asian ethnicity is associated with increased coronary artery bypass grafting mortality. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2007;133:150-154.
7. Toor IS, Jaumdally R, Lip GYH, et al. Differences between South Asians and White Europeans in five year outcome following percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2011;65:1259-1266.
8. Burton BN, Munir NA, Labastide AS, et al. An update on racial disparities with 30-day outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft under the Affordable Care Act. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2019;33:1890-1898.
9. Gupta T, Kolte D, Khera S, et al. Contemporary sex-based differences by age in presenting characteristics, use of an early invasive strategy, and in-hospital mortality in patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction in the United States. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:e005735.
10. Zhang T, Tsang W, Wijeyesundera HC, et al. Reporting and representation of ethnic minorities in cardiovascular trials: a systematic review. *Am Heart J.* 2013;166:52-57.
11. Ortega RF, Yancy CW, Mehran R, et al. Overcoming lack of diversity in cardiovascular clinical trials: a new challenge and strategies for success. *Circulation.* 2019;140:1690-1692.
12. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Deja MA, et al. Coronary-artery bypass surgery in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:1607-1616.
13. Petrie MC, Jhund PS, She L, et al. Ten-year outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting according to age in patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction: an analysis of the extended follow-up of the STICH trial (Surgical Treatment for Ischemic Heart Failure). *Circulation.* 2016;134:1314-1324.
14. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Jones RH, et al. Coronary-artery bypass surgery in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:1511-1520.
15. Bonow RO, Maurer G, Lee KL, et al. Myocardial viability and survival in ischemic left ventricular dysfunction. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364:1617-1625.
16. Orlandini A, Castellana N, Pascual A, et al. Myocardial viability for decision-making concerning revascularization in patients with left ventricular dysfunction and coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of non-randomized and randomized studies. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;182:494-499.
17. Kunadian V, Zaman A, Qiu W. Revascularization among patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2011;13:773-784.
18. Cortigiani L, Bigi R, Sicari R. Is viability still viable after the STICH trial? *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2012;13:219-226.
19. Perera D, Clayton T, Petrie MC, et al. Percutaneous revascularization for ischemic ventricular dysfunction: rationale and design of the REVIVED-BICIS2 Trial: percutaneous coronary intervention for ischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2018;6:517-526.
20. ISCHEMIA Trial. Other Trials of Potential Interest. Accessed October 13, 2021. <https://www.ischemiatrial.org/other-trials-potential-interest>
21. Saw J, Aymong E, Mancini GBJ, et al. Non-atherosclerotic coronary artery disease in young women. *Can J Cardiol.* 2014;30:814-819.
22. Tweet MS, Eleid MF, Best PJM, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: revascularization versus conservative therapy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:777-786.
23. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137:e523-e557.
24. Crawley PD, Mahlow WJ, Huntsinger DR, et al. Giant coronary artery aneurysms: review and update. *Tex Heart Inst J.* 2014;41:603-608.
25. Keyser A, Hilker MK, Husser O, et al. Giant coronary aneurysms exceeding 5 cm in size. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2012;15:33-36.
26. Li Y-F, Zhang Z-W, Wang S-S, et al. Transcatheter closure of congenital coronary artery fistulas with a giant coronary artery aneurysm in children: experiences from a single center. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2017;130:1919-1925.
27. Hirata K, Yagi N, Wake M, et al. Coronary steal due to ruptured right coronary aneurysm causing myocardial infarction in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* 2014;4:333-336.
28. Tarantini G, Migliore F, Cademartiri F, et al. Left anterior descending artery myocardial bridging: a clinical approach. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016;68:2887-2899.
29. Gould KL, Johnson NP. Myocardial bridges: lessons in clinical coronary pathophysiology. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2015;8:705-709.
30. Boyd JH, Pargaonkar VS, Scoville DH, et al. Surgical unroofing of hemodynamically significant left anterior descending myocardial bridges. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2017;103:1443-1450.
31. Mason PJ, Shah B, Tamis-Holland JE, et al. An update on radial artery access and best practices for transradial coronary angiography and intervention in acute coronary syndrome: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:e000035.
32. Gaudino M, Taggart D, Suma H, et al. The choice of conduits in coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66:1729-1737.
33. Ruzieh M, Moza A, Siddegowda Bangalore B, et al. Effect of transradial catheterisation on patency rates of radial arteries used as a conduit for coronary bypass. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2017;26:296-300.
34. Kamiya H, Ushijima T, Kanamori T, et al. Use of the radial artery graft after transradial catheterization: is it suitable as a bypass conduit? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003;76:1505-1509.
35. Yonetsu T, Kakuta T, Lee T, et al. Assessment of acute injuries and chronic intimal thickening of the radial artery after transradial coronary intervention by optical coherence tomography. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31:1608-1615.
36. Ahn J-M, Park D-W, Lee CW, et al. Comparison of stenting versus bypass surgery according to the completeness of revascularization in severe coronary artery disease: patient-level pooled analysis of the SYNTAX, PRECOMBAT, and BEST trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:1415-1424.
37. Ando T, Takagi H, Grines CL. Complete versus incomplete revascularization with drug-eluting stents for multi-vessel disease in stable, unstable angina or non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2017;30:309-317.
38. Bangalore S, Guo Y, Samadashvili Z, et al. Outcomes with complete versus incomplete revascularization in patients with multivessel coronary disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with everolimus eluting stents. *Am J Cardiol.* 2020;125:362-369.
39. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Garcia-Garcia HM, et al. The negative impact of incomplete angiographic revascularization on clinical outcomes and its association with total occlusions: the SYNTAX (Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with Taxus and Cardiac Surgery) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:282-294.
40. Zimarino M, Ricci F, Romanello M, et al. Complete myocardial revascularization confers a larger clinical benefit when performed with state-of-the-art techniques in high-risk patients with multivessel coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized and observational studies. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;87:3-12.
41. Schwartz L, Bertolet M, Feit F, et al. Impact of completeness of revascularization on long-term cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results from the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D). *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:166-173.
42. Head SJ, Davierwala PM, Serruys PW, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting vs. percutaneous coronary intervention for patients with three-vessel disease: final five-year follow-up of the SYNTAX trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2821-2830.
43. Mehta SR, Wood DA, Storey RF, et al. Complete revascularization with multivessel PCI for myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1411-1421.
44. Harskamp RE, Brennan JM, Xian Y, et al. Practice patterns and clinical outcomes after hybrid coronary revascularization in the United States: an analysis from the society of thoracic surgeons adult cardiac database. *Circulation.* 2014;130:872-879.
45. Tajstra M, Hrapkovicz T, Hawranek M, et al. Hybrid coronary revascularization in selected patients with multivessel disease: 5-year clinical outcomes of the prospective randomized pilot study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11:847-852.
46. Gąsior M, Zembala MO, Tajstra M, et al. Hybrid revascularization for multivessel coronary artery disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:1277-1283.
47. Shen L, Hu S, Wang H, et al. One-stop hybrid coronary revascularization versus coronary artery bypass grafting and percutaneous coronary intervention for the treatment of multivessel coronary artery disease: 3-year follow-up results from a single institution. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:2525-2533.
48. Faroux L, Guimaraes L, Wintzer-Wehekind J, et al. Coronary artery disease and transcatheter aortic valve replacement: JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:362-372.

49. D'Ascenzo F, Verardi R, Visconti M, et al. Independent impact of extent of coronary artery disease and percutaneous revascularisation on 30-day and one-year mortality after TAVI: a meta-analysis of adjusted observational results. *EuroIntervention*. 2018;14:e1169-e1177.

50. Kotronias RA, Kwok CS, George S, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation with or without percutaneous coronary artery revascularization strategy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017;6:e005960.

51. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, et al. Transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement in

intermediate-risk patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1609-1620.

52. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77:e25-e197.

53. McFalls EO, Ward HB, Moritz TE, et al. Coronary-artery revascularization before elective major vascular surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351:2795-2804.

54. Rao NN, Coates PT. Cardiovascular disease after kidney transplant. *Semin Nephrol*. 2018;38:291-297.

KEY WORDS ACC/AHA Clinical Practice Guidelines, percutaneous coronary intervention, angioplasty, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, myocardial infarction, cardiac surgery, stent(s), angiogram, angiography, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, coronary atherosclerosis, saphenous vein graft, internal mammary artery graft, internal thoracic artery graft, arterial graft, post-bypass, non-ST-segment-elevated myocardial infarction, vein graft lesions, myocardial revascularization, multivessel PCI, left ventricular dysfunction